

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S2-TRIB3-A5-B3-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S2-TRIB3-A5-B3-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3c. Instream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 67 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 36 | 37 | 39 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.36 | 0.37 | 0.39 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 39 | 46 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.49 | 0.58 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-9 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 43 | 53 | 61 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.36 | 0.44 | 0.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.12 | 1.30 | 1.48 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (67) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0.12 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S2-TRIB3-A5-B4-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S2-TRIB3-A5-B4-(1), S2-TRIB3-A5-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3c. Instream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 198 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 37 | 38 | 40 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.37 | 0.38 | 0.40 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 39 | 46 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.49 | 0.58 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-9 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.14 | 1.32 | 1.50 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (198) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.28 | 0.33 | 0.37 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S2-TRIB3-A5-TRIBA-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S2-TRIB3-A5-TRIBA-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3c. Instream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 657 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 38 | 39 | 41 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.41 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 39 | 46 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.49 | 0.58 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-9 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 45 | 55 | 63 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.38 | 0.46 | 0.53 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.16 | 1.34 | 1.52 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (657) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.95 | 1.10 | 1.25 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S2-TRIB3-A6-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 2 | 4 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S2-TRIB3-A6-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3c. Instream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 844 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 24 | 30 | 37 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.24 | 0.30 | 0.37 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 2 | 4 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 3 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 27 | 37 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.34 | 0.46 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-13 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 1 | 2 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 37 | 47 | 58 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.31 | 0.39 | 0.48 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 0.89 | 1.15 | 1.44 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (844) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.94 | 1.21 | 1.52 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S2-TRIB3-A6-(2) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S2-TRIB3-A6-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3c. Instream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 4 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 445 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 40 | 41 | 43 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.40 | 0.41 | 0.43 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-13 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 45 | 55 | 63 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.38 | 0.46 | 0.53 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.18 | 1.38 | 1.57 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (445) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.66 | 0.77 | 0.87 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S2-TRIB3-A7-(0) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): N/A | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. Instream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 773 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 39 | 40 | 42 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Re-Establishment | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 39 | 46 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.49 | 0.58 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-15 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 45 | 55 | 63 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.38 | 0.46 | 0.53 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.17 | 1.35 | 1.53 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (773) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 1.13 | 1.30 | 1.48 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S2-TRIB3-A7-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S2-TRIB3-A7-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. Instream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 1,318 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 41 | 42 | 44 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.41 | 0.42 | 0.44 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-12 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 45 | 55 | 63 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.38 | 0.46 | 0.53 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.19 | 1.39 | 1.58 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (1318) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 1.96 | 2.29 | 2.60 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S2-TRIB3-A7-(2) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S2-TRIB3-A7-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. Instream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 508 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 41 | 42 | 44 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.41 | 0.42 | 0.44 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-12 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 45 | 55 | 63 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.38 | 0.46 | 0.53 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.19 | 1.39 | 1.58 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (508) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.76 | 0.88 | 1.00 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S2-TRIB3-A7-(3) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S2-TRIB3-A7-(3) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. Instream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 4 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 700 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 49 | 50 | 52 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.49 | 0.50 | 0.52 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 38 | 47 | 55 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.48 | 0.59 | 0.69 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-12 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 49 | 59 | 67 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.41 | 0.49 | 0.56 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.38 | 1.58 | 1.77 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (700) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 1.21 | 1.38 | 1.55 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S2-TRIB3-A7-B2-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 2 | 4 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S2-TRIB3-A7-B2-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3c. Instream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 4 | 6 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 534 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 25 | 30 | 37 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.25 | 0.30 | 0.37 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 34 | 39 | 46 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.43 | 0.49 | 0.58 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-12 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 1 | 2 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 3 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 39 | 46 | 55 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.33 | 0.38 | 0.46 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.01 | 1.17 | 1.41 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (534) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.67 | 0.78 | 0.94 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S2-TRIB3-A7-B3-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S2-TRIB3-A7-B3-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. Instream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 112 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 36 | 37 | 39 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.36 | 0.37 | 0.39 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 39 | 46 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.49 | 0.58 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-12 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 41 | 51 | 59 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.34 | 0.43 | 0.49 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.10 | 1.29 | 1.46 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (112) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.15 | 0.18 | 0.20 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S2-TRIB3-A7-B4-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 2 | 4 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S2-TRIB3-A7-B4-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. Instream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 548 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 28 | 31 | 36 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.28 | 0.31 | 0.36 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 2 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 33 | 38 | 45 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.41 | 0.48 | 0.56 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-12 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 2 | 3 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 6 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 5 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 38 | 47 | 58 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.32 | 0.39 | 0.48 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.01 | 1.18 | 1.40 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (548) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.69 | 0.81 | 0.96 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S2-TRIB3-A7-B5-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S2-TRIB3-A7-B5-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. Instream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 353 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 36 | 37 | 39 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.36 | 0.37 | 0.39 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 33 | 40 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.41 | 0.50 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-12 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 3 | 3 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 42 | 52 | 60 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.35 | 0.43 | 0.50 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.12 | 1.30 | 1.48 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (353) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.49 | 0.57 | 0.65 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S2-TRIB3-A8-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S2-TRIB3-A8-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3c. Instream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 514 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 39 | 40 | 42 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 33 | 40 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.41 | 0.50 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-15 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.17 | 1.35 | 1.53 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (514) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.75 | 0.87 | 0.98 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S2-TRIB3-A8-(2) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S2-TRIB3-A8-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. Instream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 359 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 38 | 39 | 41 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.41 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 39 | 46 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.49 | 0.58 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-15 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.15 | 1.33 | 1.51 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (359) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.52 | 0.60 | 0.68 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S2-TRIB3-A8-B1-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 2 | 4 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S2-TRIB3-A8-B1-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3c. Instream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 169 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 23 | 29 | 36 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.23 | 0.29 | 0.36 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 2 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 31 | 37 | 45 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.39 | 0.46 | 0.56 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-15 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 1 | 2 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 3 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 5 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 34 | 43 | 54 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.28 | 0.36 | 0.45 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 0.90 | 1.11 | 1.37 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (169) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.19 | 0.23 | 0.29 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S2-TRIB3-A8-B2-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 2 | 4 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S2-TRIB3-A8-B2-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3c. Instream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 2 | 4 | 7 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 129 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 23 | 28 | 36 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.23 | 0.28 | 0.36 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 2 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 37 | 45 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.46 | 0.56 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-15 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 2 | 3 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 5 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 36 | 45 | 54 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.30 | 0.38 | 0.45 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 0.93 | 1.12 | 1.37 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (129) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.15 | 0.18 | 0.22 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S2-TRIB3-A9-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S2-TRIB3-A9-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3c. Instream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 130 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 36 | 36 | 38 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.38 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 2 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 5 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 29 | 36 | 45 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.36 | 0.45 | 0.56 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-15 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 2 | 3 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 3 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 2 | 4 | 6 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 5 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 34 | 46 | 56 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.28 | 0.38 | 0.47 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.00 | 1.19 | 1.41 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (130) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.16 | 0.19 | 0.23 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S2-TRIB3-A9-(2) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S2-TRIB3-A9-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. Instream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 447 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 38 | 39 | 41 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.41 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 39 | 46 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.49 | 0.58 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-15 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 43 | 53 | 61 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.36 | 0.44 | 0.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.14 | 1.32 | 1.50 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (447) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.64 | 0.74 | 0.84 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S2-TRIB3-A10-(2) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S2-TRIB3-A10-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3c. Instream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 105 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 39 | 40 | 42 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 39 | 46 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.49 | 0.58 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-15 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 42 | 52 | 60 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.35 | 0.43 | 0.50 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.14 | 1.32 | 1.50 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (105) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.15 | 0.17 | 0.20 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S2-TRIB3-A10-(3) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S2-TRIB3-A10-(3) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3c. Instream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 302 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 39 | 40 | 42 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 39 | 46 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.49 | 0.58 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-15 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 46 | 56 | 64 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.38 | 0.47 | 0.53 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.17 | 1.36 | 1.53 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (302) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.44 | 0.51 | 0.58 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S2-TRIB3-A10-B1-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S2-TRIB3-A10-B1-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3c. Instream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 123 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 37 | 38 | 40 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.37 | 0.38 | 0.40 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 39 | 46 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.49 | 0.58 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-15 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.14 | 1.32 | 1.50 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (123) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.18 | 0.20 | 0.23 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S2-TRIB3-B1-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S2-TRIB3-B1-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. Instream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 283 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 38 | 39 | 41 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.41 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 39 | 46 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.49 | 0.58 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-15 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.15 | 1.33 | 1.51 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (283) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.41 | 0.47 | 0.53 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: T1-BAKER-(0) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): N/A | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. Instream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 4 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 2,710 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 51 | 52 | 54 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.51 | 0.52 | 0.54 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Re-Establishment | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 34 | 43 | 51 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.43 | 0.54 | 0.64 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-4, A-5 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 47 | 57 | 65 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.39 | 0.48 | 0.54 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.33 | 1.54 | 1.72 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (2710) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 4.51 | 5.22 | 5.83 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: T1-BAKER-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): T1-BAKER-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. Instream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 4 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 1,540 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 46 | 47 | 49 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.46 | 0.47 | 0.49 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 34 | 43 | 51 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.43 | 0.54 | 0.64 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-5, A-8 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 46 | 56 | 64 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.38 | 0.47 | 0.53 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.27 | 1.48 | 1.66 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (1540) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 2.44 | 2.85 | 3.20 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: T2-BAKER-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 3 | 5 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 7 | 7 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): T2-BAKER-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3c. Instream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 1,493 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 39 | 41 | 46 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.39 | 0.41 | 0.46 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 2 | 3 | 5 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 39 | 48 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.49 | 0.60 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-2 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 1 | 2 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 2 | 4 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 2 | 4 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 39 | 48 | 61 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.33 | 0.40 | 0.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.12 | 1.30 | 1.57 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (1493) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 2.09 | 2.43 | 2.93 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: T2-BAKER-(2) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): T2-BAKER-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. Instream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 4 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 1,229 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 41 | 42 | 44 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.41 | 0.42 | 0.44 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-2 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 3 | 3 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 43 | 53 | 61 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.36 | 0.44 | 0.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.17 | 1.37 | 1.56 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (1229) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 1.80 | 2.10 | 2.40 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: T2-BAKER-(3) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): T2-BAKER-(3) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. Instream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 4 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 698 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 40 | 41 | 43 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.40 | 0.41 | 0.43 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-2 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.17 | 1.37 | 1.56 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (698) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 1.02 | 1.20 | 1.36 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: T2-BAKER-TRIB1-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): T2-BAKER-TRIB1-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3c. Instream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 274 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 19 | 25 | 34 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.19 | 0.25 | 0.34 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 2 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 26 | 34 | 45 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.33 | 0.43 | 0.56 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-2 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 1 | 2 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 1 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 2 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 30 | 43 | 54 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.25 | 0.36 | 0.45 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 0.77 | 1.04 | 1.35 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (274) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.26 | 0.36 | 0.46 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: T2-BAKER-TRIB1-(2) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): T2-BAKER-TRIB1-(2), T2-BAKER-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. Instream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 1,080 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 39 | 40 | 42 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-2 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.16 | 1.36 | 1.55 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (1080) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 1.57 | 1.84 | 2.09 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: T3-BAKER-(7) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): T3-BAKER-(7) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. Instream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 430 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 46 | 47 | 49 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.46 | 0.47 | 0.49 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 34 | 43 | 51 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.43 | 0.54 | 0.64 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-2 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 46 | 56 | 64 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.38 | 0.47 | 0.53 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.27 | 1.48 | 1.66 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (430) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.68 | 0.80 | 0.89 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: T3-BAKER-TRIB1-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): T3-BAKER-TRIB1-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. Instream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 155 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 38 | 39 | 41 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.41 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 39 | 46 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.49 | 0.58 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-1 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 43 | 53 | 61 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.36 | 0.44 | 0.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.14 | 1.32 | 1.50 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (155) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.22 | 0.26 | 0.29 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: T3-BAKER-TRIB1-(2) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): T3-BAKER-TRIB1-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3c. Instream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 190 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 32 | 32 | 35 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.35 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 2 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 36 | 45 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.45 | 0.56 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-2 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 1 | 2 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 42 | 48 | 57 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.35 | 0.40 | 0.48 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.07 | 1.17 | 1.39 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (190) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.25 | 0.28 | 0.33 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: T3-BAKER-TRIB1-(3a) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): T3-BAKER-TRIB1-(3) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. Instream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 923 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 36 | 37 | 39 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.36 | 0.37 | 0.39 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-2 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 42 | 52 | 60 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.35 | 0.43 | 0.50 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.11 | 1.31 | 1.50 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (923) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 1.28 | 1.51 | 1.73 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: T3-BAKER-TRIB1-(3b) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): T3-BAKER-TRIB1-(3) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. Instream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 201 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 38 | 39 | 41 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.41 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-2 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.15 | 1.35 | 1.54 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (201) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.29 | 0.34 | 0.39 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: T3-BAKER-TRIB1-B1-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 6 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): T3-BAKER-TRIB1-B1-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3c. Instream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 289 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 31 | 33 | 34 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.31 | 0.33 | 0.34 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 2 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 29 | 37 | 45 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.36 | 0.46 | 0.56 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-2 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 1 | 2 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 3 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 36 | 46 | 55 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.30 | 0.38 | 0.46 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 0.97 | 1.17 | 1.36 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (289) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.35 | 0.42 | 0.49 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: T3-BAKER-TRIB1-B2-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 6 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): T3-BAKER-TRIB1-B2-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. Instream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 165 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 38 | 40 | 41 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.38 | 0.40 | 0.41 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 6 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 5 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 4 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 37 | 45 | 53 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.46 | 0.56 | 0.66 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-2 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 1 | 2 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 1 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 6 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 40 | 50 | 59 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.33 | 0.42 | 0.49 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.17 | 1.38 | 1.56 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (165) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.24 | 0.28 | 0.32 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: T3-BAKER-TRIB1-B2-(2) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): T3-BAKER-TRIB1-B2-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3c. Instream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 136 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 34 | 34 | 36 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.36 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 2 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 4 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 34 | 39 | 46 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.43 | 0.49 | 0.58 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-2 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 1 | 2 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 50 | 58 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.42 | 0.48 | | |
| TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.14 | 1.25 | 1.42 | | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (136) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.19 | 0.21 | 0.24 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: T4-(2) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): N/A | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. Instream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 302 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 41 | 42 | 44 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.41 | 0.42 | 0.44 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Re-Establishment | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 39 | 46 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.49 | 0.58 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-3 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 3 | 3 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.18 | 1.36 | 1.54 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (302) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.45 | 0.51 | 0.58 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: T4-(3) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): N/A | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3c. Instream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 549 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 35 | 35 | 37 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.37 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Re-Establishment | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 33 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-3 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 3 | 3 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 41 | 50 | 58 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.34 | 0.42 | 0.48 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.10 | 1.28 | 1.46 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (549) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.75 | 0.88 | 1.00 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: T4-(4) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): N/A | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. Instream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 738 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 39 | 40 | 42 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Re-Establishment | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-3 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 3 | 3 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.16 | 1.36 | 1.55 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (738) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 1.07 | 1.25 | 1.43 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: T4-(5) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): N/A | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3c. Instream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 4 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 938 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 36 | 36 | 38 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.38 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Re-Establishment | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 33 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-3 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 3 | 3 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 41 | 50 | 58 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.34 | 0.42 | 0.48 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.11 | 1.29 | 1.47 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (938) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 1.30 | 1.51 | 1.72 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: T4-(6) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): N/A | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. Instream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 4 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 799 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 41 | 42 | 44 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.41 | 0.42 | 0.44 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Re-Establishment | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-3 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 3 | 3 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.18 | 1.38 | 1.57 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (799) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 1.18 | 1.38 | 1.57 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: T4-(7) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): N/A | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. Instream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 4 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 1,047 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 41 | 42 | 44 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.41 | 0.42 | 0.44 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Re-Establishment | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-6 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 3 | 3 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.18 | 1.38 | 1.57 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (1047) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 1.54 | 1.81 | 2.05 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: T4-TRIB2-(1a) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): N/A | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. Instream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 731 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 39 | 40 | 42 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Re-Establishment | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 39 | 46 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.49 | 0.58 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-3 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 3 | 3 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 43 | 53 | 61 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.36 | 0.44 | 0.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.15 | 1.33 | 1.51 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (731) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 1.05 | 1.22 | 1.38 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: T4-TRIB2-(1b) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): N/A | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. Instream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 233 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 40 | 41 | 43 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.40 | 0.41 | 0.43 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Re-Establishment | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 39 | 46 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.49 | 0.58 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-3 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 3 | 3 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 43 | 53 | 61 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.36 | 0.44 | 0.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.16 | 1.34 | 1.52 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (233) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.34 | 0.39 | 0.44 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: T4-TRIB2-(1c) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): N/A | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. Instream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 539 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 40 | 41 | 43 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.40 | 0.41 | 0.43 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Re-Establishment | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 39 | 46 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.49 | 0.58 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-3 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 3 | 3 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 43 | 53 | 61 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.36 | 0.44 | 0.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.16 | 1.34 | 1.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (539) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.78 | 0.90 | 1.02 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: T4-TRIB2-(2) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): N/A | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. Instream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 517 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 39 | 40 | 42 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Re-Establishment | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-3 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 3 | 3 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 43 | 53 | 61 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.36 | 0.44 | 0.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.15 | 1.35 | 1.54 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (517) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.74 | 0.87 | 1.00 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: T5-(1a) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): N/A | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. Instream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 666 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 39 | 40 | 42 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Re-Establishment | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 39 | 46 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.49 | 0.58 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-4 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 3 | 3 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 42 | 52 | 60 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.35 | 0.43 | 0.50 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.14 | 1.32 | 1.50 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (666) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.95 | 1.10 | 1.25 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: T5-(1b) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): N/A | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. Instream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 431 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 38 | 39 | 41 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.41 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Re-Establishment | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 39 | 46 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.49 | 0.58 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-4 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 3 | 3 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 42 | 52 | 60 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.35 | 0.43 | 0.50 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.13 | 1.31 | 1.49 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (431) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.61 | 0.71 | 0.80 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: T5-(2) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): N/A | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. Instream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 508 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 39 | 40 | 42 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Re-Establishment | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-4 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 3 | 3 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 43 | 53 | 61 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.36 | 0.44 | 0.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.15 | 1.35 | 1.54 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (508) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.73 | 0.86 | 0.98 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: T5-(3) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): N/A | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. Instream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 394 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 40 | 41 | 43 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.40 | 0.41 | 0.43 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Re-Establishment | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-4 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 3 | 3 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 43 | 53 | 61 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.36 | 0.44 | 0.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.16 | 1.36 | 1.55 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (394) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.57 | 0.67 | 0.76 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: T5-(4) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): N/A | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. Instream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 467 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 40 | 41 | 43 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.40 | 0.41 | 0.43 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Re-Establishment | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-4 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 3 | 3 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.17 | 1.37 | 1.56 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (467) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.68 | 0.80 | 0.91 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: T5-(5) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): N/A | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. Instream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 3,856 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 50 | 51 | 53 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.50 | 0.51 | 0.53 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Re-Establishment | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 34 | 43 | 51 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.43 | 0.54 | 0.64 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-4 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 3 | 3 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 47 | 57 | 65 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.39 | 0.48 | 0.54 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.32 | 1.53 | 1.71 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (3856) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 6.36 | 7.37 | 8.24 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: T5-TRIB1-(1a) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): N/A | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. Instream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 569 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 38 | 39 | 41 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.41 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Re-Establishment | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 39 | 46 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.49 | 0.58 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-3, A-4 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 3 | 3 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 42 | 52 | 60 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.35 | 0.43 | 0.50 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.13 | 1.31 | 1.49 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (569) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.80 | 0.93 | 1.06 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: T5-TRIB1-(1b) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): N/A | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. Instream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 390 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 38 | 39 | 41 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.41 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Re-Establishment | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 39 | 46 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.49 | 0.58 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-4 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 3 | 3 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 42 | 52 | 60 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.35 | 0.43 | 0.50 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.13 | 1.31 | 1.49 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (390) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.55 | 0.64 | 0.73 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: T5-TRIB1-(1c) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): N/A | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. Instream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 218 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 38 | 39 | 41 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.41 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Re-Establishment | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 39 | 46 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.49 | 0.58 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-4 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 3 | 3 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 42 | 52 | 60 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.35 | 0.43 | 0.50 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.13 | 1.31 | 1.49 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (218) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.31 | 0.36 | 0.41 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: T6-BAKER-(1a) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): N/A | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. Instream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 1,015 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 40 | 41 | 43 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.40 | 0.41 | 0.43 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-4 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 3 | 3 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.17 | 1.37 | 1.56 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (1015) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 1.48 | 1.74 | 1.98 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: T6-BAKER-(1b) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): T6-BAKER-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. Instream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 1,132 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 41 | 42 | 44 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.41 | 0.42 | 0.44 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-4 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 3 | 3 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.18 | 1.38 | 1.57 | | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (1132) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 1.67 | 1.95 | 2.22 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: T6-BAKER-(1c) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): T6-BAKER-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. Instream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 2,732 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 44 | 45 | 47 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.44 | 0.45 | 0.47 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-4, A-5 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 3 | 3 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 45 | 55 | 63 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.38 | 0.46 | 0.53 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.22 | 1.42 | 1.61 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (2732) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 4.17 | 4.85 | 5.50 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB1-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB1-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 921 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 41 | 42 | 44 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.41 | 0.42 | 0.44 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 40 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-17 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.18 | 1.37 | 1.55 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (921) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 1.36 | 1.58 | 1.78 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB1-(2) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB1-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 591 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 40 | 41 | 43 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.40 | 0.41 | 0.43 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 33 | 41 | 48 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.60 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-17 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.18 | 1.37 | 1.55 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (591) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.87 | 1.01 | 1.15 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB1-(3) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB1-(3) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 4 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 701 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 51 | 52 | 54 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.51 | 0.52 | 0.54 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 39 | 47 | 54 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.49 | 0.59 | 0.68 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-14 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 5 | 5 | 5 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 52 | 62 | 70 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.43 | 0.52 | 0.58 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.43 | 1.63 | 1.80 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (701) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 1.25 | 1.43 | 1.58 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB1-(4) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB1-(4) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 4 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 1,292 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 51 | 52 | 54 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.51 | 0.52 | 0.54 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 39 | 47 | 54 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.49 | 0.59 | 0.68 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-14 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 5 | 5 | 5 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 52 | 62 | 70 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.43 | 0.52 | 0.58 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.43 | 1.63 | 1.80 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (1292) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 2.31 | 2.63 | 2.91 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB1-A2-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 2 | 4 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 2 | 4 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB1-A2-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 2 | 4 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 2 | 4 | 7 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 791 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 15 | 23 | 36 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.15 | 0.23 | 0.36 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 2 | 4 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 2 | 4 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 7 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 3 | 5 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 25 | 34 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.31 | 0.43 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-14 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 2 | 3 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 1 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 3 | 4 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 2 | 4 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 2 | 4 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 3 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 7 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 4 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 29 | 42 | 59 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.24 | 0.35 | 0.49 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 0.70 | 1.01 | 1.44 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (791) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.69 | 1.00 | 1.42 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB1-A2-(2) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB1-A2-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 876 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 39 | 40 | 42 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 33 | 41 | 48 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.60 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-14 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.17 | 1.36 | 1.54 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (876) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 1.28 | 1.49 | 1.69 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB1-A2-TRIBA-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 2 | 4 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 2 | 4 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB1-A2-TRIBA-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 2 | 4 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 2 | 4 | 7 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 342 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 13 | 21 | 34 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.13 | 0.21 | 0.34 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 2 | 4 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 2 | 4 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 3 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 23 | 34 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.29 | 0.43 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-14 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 1 | 2 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 1 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 1 | 3 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 1 | 3 | 6 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 2 | 4 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 3 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 4 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 21 | 36 | 54 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.18 | 0.30 | 0.45 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 0.60 | 0.94 | 1.38 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (342) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.26 | 0.40 | 0.59 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB1-A3-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB1-A3-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 227 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 39 | 40 | 42 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 33 | 41 | 48 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.60 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-14 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.17 | 1.36 | 1.54 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (227) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.33 | 0.39 | 0.44 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB1-A4-(1a) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB1-A4-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 4 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 1,071 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 51 | 52 | 54 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.51 | 0.52 | 0.54 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 39 | 47 | 54 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.49 | 0.59 | 0.68 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-14, A-17 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 5 | 5 | 5 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 52 | 62 | 70 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.43 | 0.52 | 0.58 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.43 | 1.63 | 1.80 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (1071) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 1.91 | 2.18 | 2.41 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB1-A4-(1b) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB1-A4-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 4 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 652 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 49 | 50 | 52 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.49 | 0.50 | 0.52 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 39 | 47 | 54 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.49 | 0.59 | 0.68 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-14 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 5 | 5 | 5 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 52 | 62 | 70 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.43 | 0.52 | 0.58 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.41 | 1.61 | 1.78 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (652) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 1.15 | 1.31 | 1.45 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB1-A4-TRIBA-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB1-A4-TRIBA-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 295 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 38 | 39 | 41 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.41 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 33 | 41 | 48 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.60 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-14 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 43 | 53 | 61 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.36 | 0.44 | 0.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.15 | 1.34 | 1.52 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (295) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.42 | 0.49 | 0.56 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB1-A4-TRIBB-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB1-A4-TRIBB-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 129 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 27 | 29 | 35 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.27 | 0.29 | 0.35 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 7 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 7 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 4 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 39 | 43 | 48 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.49 | 0.54 | 0.60 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-17 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 1 | 2 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 1 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 4 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 7 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 43 | 49 | 56 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.36 | 0.41 | 0.47 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.12 | 1.24 | 1.42 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (129) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.18 | 0.20 | 0.23 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB1-A4-TRIBB-(2a) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB1-A4-TRIBB-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 141 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 38 | 39 | 41 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.41 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 33 | 41 | 48 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.60 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-17 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.16 | 1.35 | 1.53 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (141) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.20 | 0.24 | 0.27 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB1-A4-TRIBB-(2b) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB1-A4-TRIBB-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 466 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 39 | 40 | 42 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 33 | 41 | 48 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.60 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-14, A-17 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.17 | 1.36 | 1.54 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (466) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.68 | 0.79 | 0.90 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB1-A4-TRIBB-(2c) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB1-A4-TRIBB-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 592 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 40 | 41 | 43 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.40 | 0.41 | 0.43 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 33 | 41 | 48 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.60 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-14 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.18 | 1.37 | 1.55 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (592) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.87 | 1.01 | 1.15 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB1-A4-TRIBB-AA-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 5 | 6 | 7 | | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB1-A4-TRIBB-AA-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 206 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 31 | 33 | 37 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.31 | 0.33 | 0.37 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 7 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 41 | 44 | 48 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.51 | 0.55 | 0.60 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-14 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 1 | 2 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 1 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 48 | 53 | 59 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.40 | 0.44 | 0.49 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.22 | 1.32 | 1.46 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (206) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.31 | 0.34 | 0.38 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB1-A4-TRIBB-AB-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB1-A4-TRIBB-AB-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 226 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 34 | 34 | 37 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.37 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 7 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 40 | 42 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.50 | 0.53 | 0.59 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-14, A-17 | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 2 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 1 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 47 | 51 | 58 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.39 | 0.43 | 0.48 | | |
| TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.23 | 1.30 | 1.44 | | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (226) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.35 | 0.37 | 0.41 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB1-A4-TRIBB-AC-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 6 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB1-A4-TRIBB-AC-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 141 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 32 | 34 | 37 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.32 | 0.34 | 0.37 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 7 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 41 | 44 | 48 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.51 | 0.55 | 0.60 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-17 | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 1 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 49 | 53 | 59 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.41 | 0.44 | 0.49 | | |
| TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.24 | 1.33 | 1.46 | | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (141) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.22 | 0.23 | 0.26 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB1-A4-TRIBC-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 6 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB1-A4-TRIBC-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 172 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 33 | 35 | 36 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.33 | 0.35 | 0.36 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 33 | 40 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.41 | 0.50 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-17 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 1 | 2 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 2 | 4 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 35 | 45 | 56 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.29 | 0.38 | 0.47 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.03 | 1.23 | 1.42 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (172) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.22 | 0.26 | 0.31 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB1-A4-TRIBC-(2) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB1-A4-TRIBC-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 112 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 36 | 37 | 39 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.36 | 0.37 | 0.39 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Re-Establishment | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-17 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 42 | 52 | 60 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.35 | 0.43 | 0.50 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.11 | 1.31 | 1.50 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (112) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.16 | 0.18 | 0.21 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB1-A4-TRIBD-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB1-A4-TRIBD-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 257 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 38 | 39 | 41 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.41 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 40 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-17 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 43 | 53 | 61 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.36 | 0.44 | 0.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.14 | 1.33 | 1.51 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (257) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.37 | 0.43 | 0.49 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB1-A4-TRIBE-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): N/A | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 221 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 36 | 37 | 39 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.36 | 0.37 | 0.39 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 33 | 41 | 48 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.60 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-17 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 41 | 51 | 59 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.34 | 0.43 | 0.49 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.11 | 1.31 | 1.48 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (221) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.31 | 0.36 | 0.41 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB1-A5-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB1-A5-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 254 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 38 | 39 | 41 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.41 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-14 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 43 | 53 | 61 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.36 | 0.44 | 0.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.14 | 1.34 | 1.53 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (254) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.36 | 0.43 | 0.49 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB1-A6-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB1-A6-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 439 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 28 | 32 | 38 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.28 | 0.32 | 0.38 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 37 | 41 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.46 | 0.51 | 0.59 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-17 | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 1 | 2 | 4 | | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 46 | 52 | 61 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.38 | 0.43 | 0.51 | | |
| TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.12 | 1.26 | 1.48 | | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (439) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.61 | 0.69 | 0.81 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB1-A7-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB1-A7-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 359 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 36 | 37 | 39 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.36 | 0.37 | 0.39 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-17 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 41 | 51 | 59 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.34 | 0.43 | 0.49 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.10 | 1.31 | 1.49 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (359) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.49 | 0.59 | 0.67 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB1-A7-(2) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB1-A7-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 154 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 39 | 40 | 42 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-17 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.16 | 1.36 | 1.55 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (154) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.22 | 0.26 | 0.30 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB2-B2-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB2-B2-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 355 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 29 | 32 | 37 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.29 | 0.32 | 0.37 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 4 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 34 | 39 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.43 | 0.49 | 0.59 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-16 | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 1 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 1 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 4 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 40 | 48 | 59 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.33 | 0.40 | 0.49 | | |
| TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.05 | 1.21 | 1.45 | | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (355) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.47 | 0.54 | 0.64 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB2-B2-TRIBA-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 3 | 4 | 6 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 2 | 4 | 6 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB2-B2-TRIBA-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 3 | 4 | 6 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 2 | 4 | 7 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 384 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 17 | 23 | 33 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.17 | 0.23 | 0.33 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 3 | 4 | 6 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 4 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 33 | 37 | 46 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.41 | 0.46 | 0.58 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-16 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 1 | 2 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 4 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 3 | 4 | 6 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 4 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 42 | 47 | 59 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.35 | 0.39 | 0.49 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 0.93 | 1.08 | 1.40 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (384) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.45 | 0.52 | 0.67 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB3-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 2 | 4 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB3-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 2 | 4 | 7 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 211 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 19 | 25 | 36 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.19 | 0.25 | 0.36 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 4 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 31 | 37 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.39 | 0.46 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-15 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 2 | 3 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 4 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 6 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 39 | 47 | 59 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.33 | 0.39 | 0.49 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 0.91 | 1.10 | 1.44 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (211) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.24 | 0.29 | 0.38 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB3-(2a) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB3-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 804 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 41 | 42 | 44 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.41 | 0.42 | 0.44 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 33 | 41 | 48 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.60 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-15 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 45 | 55 | 63 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.38 | 0.46 | 0.53 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.20 | 1.39 | 1.57 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (804) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 1.21 | 1.40 | 1.58 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB3-(2b) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB3-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 1,036 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 44 | 45 | 47 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.44 | 0.45 | 0.47 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 33 | 41 | 48 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.60 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-15 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 45 | 55 | 63 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.38 | 0.46 | 0.53 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.23 | 1.42 | 1.60 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (1036) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 1.59 | 1.84 | 2.07 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB3-A7-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB3-A7-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 139 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 25 | 30 | 37 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.25 | 0.30 | 0.37 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 4 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 31 | 38 | 48 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.39 | 0.48 | 0.60 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-16 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 2 | 3 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 4 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 42 | 49 | 61 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.35 | 0.41 | 0.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 0.99 | 1.19 | 1.48 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (139) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.17 | 0.21 | 0.26 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB3-A7-(2a) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB3-A7-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 242 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 40 | 41 | 43 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.40 | 0.41 | 0.43 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 33 | 41 | 48 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.60 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-16 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.18 | 1.37 | 1.55 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (242) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.36 | 0.41 | 0.47 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB3-A7-(2b) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB3-A7-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 321 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 42 | 43 | 45 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.42 | 0.43 | 0.45 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 33 | 41 | 48 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.60 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-16 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.20 | 1.39 | 1.57 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (321) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.48 | 0.56 | 0.63 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB3-A7-(2c) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB3-A7-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 176 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 41 | 42 | 44 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.41 | 0.42 | 0.44 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 33 | 41 | 48 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.60 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-16 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.19 | 1.38 | 1.56 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (176) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.26 | 0.30 | 0.34 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB3-A7-(3) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB3-A7-(3) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 4 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 564 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 36 | 38 | 45 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.36 | 0.38 | 0.45 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 5 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 37 | 40 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.46 | 0.50 | 0.59 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-16 | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 6 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 57 | 59 | 64 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.48 | 0.49 | 0.53 | | |
| TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.30 | 1.37 | 1.57 | | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (564) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.92 | 0.97 | 1.11 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB3-A7-(4) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): N/A | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 555 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 40 | 41 | 43 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.40 | 0.41 | 0.43 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 5 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Re-Establishment | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 34 | 41 | 48 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.43 | 0.51 | 0.60 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-15, A-16 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 45 | 55 | 63 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.38 | 0.46 | 0.53 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.21 | 1.38 | 1.56 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (555) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.84 | 0.96 | 1.08 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB3-A7-TRIBA-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB3-A7-TRIBA-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 401 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 37 | 38 | 40 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.37 | 0.38 | 0.40 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 40 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-15 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 41 | 51 | 59 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.34 | 0.43 | 0.49 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.11 | 1.31 | 1.48 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (401) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.56 | 0.66 | 0.74 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB3-A7-TRIBA-(2) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB3-A7-TRIBA-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 4 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 233 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 37 | 37 | 41 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.37 | 0.37 | 0.41 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 38 | 41 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.48 | 0.51 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-15 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 1 | 2 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 1 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 48 | 52 | 60 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.40 | 0.43 | 0.50 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.25 | 1.31 | 1.50 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (233) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.36 | 0.38 | 0.44 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB3-A7-TRIBA-(3) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB3-A7-TRIBA-(3) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 97 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 36 | 37 | 39 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.36 | 0.37 | 0.39 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 40 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-15 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 42 | 52 | 60 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.35 | 0.43 | 0.50 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.11 | 1.30 | 1.48 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (97) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.13 | 0.16 | 0.18 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB3-A7-TRIBA-(4) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): N/A | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 457 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 40 | 41 | 43 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.40 | 0.41 | 0.43 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Re-Establishment | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-15 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 45 | 55 | 63 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.38 | 0.46 | 0.53 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.18 | 1.38 | 1.57 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (457) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.67 | 0.79 | 0.90 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB3-A7-TRIBA-AA-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB3-A7-TRIBA-AA-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 122 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 36 | 37 | 39 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.36 | 0.37 | 0.39 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 33 | 41 | 48 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.60 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-15 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 41 | 51 | 59 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.34 | 0.43 | 0.49 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.11 | 1.31 | 1.48 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (122) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.17 | 0.20 | 0.23 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB3-A7-TRIBA-AB-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 7 | 7 | 7 | | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB3-A7-TRIBA-AB-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 168 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 32 | 34 | 37 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.32 | 0.34 | 0.37 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 4 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 33 | 39 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.41 | 0.49 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-15 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 1 | 2 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 4 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 41 | 47 | 58 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.34 | 0.39 | 0.48 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.07 | 1.22 | 1.44 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (168) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.22 | 0.26 | 0.30 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB3-A7-TRIBA-AC-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB3-A7-TRIBA-AC-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 79 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 37 | 38 | 40 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.37 | 0.38 | 0.40 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 33 | 41 | 48 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.60 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-15 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 42 | 52 | 60 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.35 | 0.43 | 0.50 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.13 | 1.32 | 1.50 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (79) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.11 | 0.13 | 0.15 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB3-A7-TRIBA-AD-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB3-A7-TRIBA-AD-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 86 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 36 | 37 | 39 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.36 | 0.37 | 0.39 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 33 | 41 | 48 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.60 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-15 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 41 | 51 | 59 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.34 | 0.43 | 0.49 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.11 | 1.31 | 1.48 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (86) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.12 | 0.14 | 0.16 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB3-A7-TRIBB-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB3-A7-TRIBB-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 290 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 39 | 40 | 42 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 33 | 41 | 48 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.60 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-16 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 43 | 53 | 61 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.36 | 0.44 | 0.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.16 | 1.35 | 1.53 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (290) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.42 | 0.49 | 0.55 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB3-A7-TRIBB-(2) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): N/A | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 134 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 39 | 40 | 42 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Re-Establishment | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-16 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 43 | 53 | 61 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.36 | 0.44 | 0.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.15 | 1.35 | 1.54 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (134) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.19 | 0.23 | 0.26 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB3-A7-TRIBB-AA-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB3-A7-TRIBB-AA-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 275 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 37 | 38 | 40 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.37 | 0.38 | 0.40 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 33 | 41 | 48 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.60 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-16 | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 41 | 51 | 59 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.34 | 0.43 | 0.49 | | |
| TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.12 | 1.32 | 1.49 | | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (275) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.51 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB3-A7-TRIBC-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB3-A7-TRIBC-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 179 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 39 | 40 | 42 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 33 | 41 | 48 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.60 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-15, A-16 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 43 | 53 | 61 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.36 | 0.44 | 0.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.16 | 1.35 | 1.53 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (179) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.26 | 0.30 | 0.34 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB3-A7-TRIBD-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 2 | 4 | 6 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 2 | 4 | 6 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB3-A7-TRIBD-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 2 | 4 | 6 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 2 | 4 | 6 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 284 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 16 | 24 | 33 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.16 | 0.24 | 0.33 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 2 | 4 | 6 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 2 | 4 | 6 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 7 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 5 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 24 | 34 | 45 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.30 | 0.43 | 0.56 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-16 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 1 | 2 | 2 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 1 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 1 | 3 | 5 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 2 | 4 | 6 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 2 | 4 | 6 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 5 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 7 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 27 | 40 | 53 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.23 | 0.33 | 0.44 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 0.69 | 1.00 | 1.33 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (284) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.24 | 0.36 | 0.47 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB3-A7-TRIBD-AA-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 2 | 4 | 6 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 2 | 4 | 6 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB3-A7-TRIBD-AA-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 2 | 4 | 6 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 2 | 4 | 6 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 69 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 14 | 22 | 31 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.14 | 0.22 | 0.31 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 2 | 4 | 6 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 2 | 4 | 6 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 5 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 25 | 34 | 45 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.31 | 0.43 | 0.56 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-16 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 2 | 3 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 1 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 1 | 3 | 5 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 2 | 4 | 6 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 2 | 4 | 6 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 5 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 27 | 39 | 52 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.23 | 0.33 | 0.43 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 0.68 | 0.98 | 1.30 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (69) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.11 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB3-A7-TRIBE-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB3-A7-TRIBE-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 895 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 38 | 39 | 41 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.41 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 33 | 41 | 48 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.60 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-15 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.16 | 1.35 | 1.53 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (895) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 1.30 | 1.51 | 1.71 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB3-A7-TRIBF-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB3-A7-TRIBF-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 94 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 36 | 37 | 39 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.36 | 0.37 | 0.39 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 33 | 41 | 48 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.60 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-16 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 41 | 51 | 59 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.34 | 0.43 | 0.49 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.11 | 1.31 | 1.48 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (94) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.13 | 0.15 | 0.17 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB3-A7-TRIBG(-1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB3-A7-TRIBG(-1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 142 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 37 | 38 | 40 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.37 | 0.38 | 0.40 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 33 | 41 | 48 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.60 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-16 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 42 | 52 | 60 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.35 | 0.43 | 0.50 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.13 | 1.32 | 1.50 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (142) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.20 | 0.23 | 0.27 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB3-A10-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB3-A10-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 218 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 26 | 30 | 39 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.26 | 0.30 | 0.39 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 4 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 31 | 37 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.39 | 0.46 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-15 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 2 | 3 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 1 | 2 | 4 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 4 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 40 | 47 | 61 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.33 | 0.39 | 0.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 0.98 | 1.15 | 1.49 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (218) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.27 | 0.31 | 0.41 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB3-A10-(2) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB3-A10-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 235 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 39 | 40 | 42 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-15 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.16 | 1.36 | 1.55 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (235) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.34 | 0.40 | 0.46 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB3-A10-B1-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB3-A10-B1-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 70 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 39 | 40 | 42 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 33 | 41 | 48 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.60 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-15 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 43 | 53 | 61 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.36 | 0.44 | 0.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.16 | 1.35 | 1.53 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (70) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.13 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB3-A10-TRIBA-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB3-A10-TRIBA-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 289 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 39 | 40 | 42 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 33 | 41 | 48 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.60 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-15 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 43 | 53 | 61 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.36 | 0.44 | 0.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.16 | 1.35 | 1.53 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (289) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.42 | 0.49 | 0.55 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB3-A11-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB3-A11-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 429 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 27 | 30 | 37 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.27 | 0.30 | 0.37 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 6 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 3 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 33 | 40 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.41 | 0.50 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-15 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 1 | 2 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 3 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 41 | 50 | 60 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.34 | 0.42 | 0.50 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.02 | 1.22 | 1.46 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (429) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.55 | 0.65 | 0.78 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB3-A12-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB3-A12-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 163 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 24 | 30 | 38 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.24 | 0.30 | 0.38 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 4 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 38 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.48 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-15 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 1 | 2 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 1 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 4 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 40 | 48 | 59 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.33 | 0.40 | 0.49 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 0.97 | 1.18 | 1.46 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (163) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.20 | 0.24 | 0.30 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB3-A13-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB3-A13-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 255 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 27 | 31 | 38 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.27 | 0.31 | 0.38 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 4 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 31 | 37 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.39 | 0.46 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-15 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 1 | 2 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 1 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 4 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 40 | 46 | 56 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.33 | 0.38 | 0.47 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 0.99 | 1.15 | 1.44 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (255) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.32 | 0.37 | 0.46 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB3-A13-(2) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB3-A13-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 244 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 39 | 40 | 42 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-15 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 43 | 53 | 61 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.36 | 0.44 | 0.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.15 | 1.35 | 1.54 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (244) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.35 | 0.41 | 0.47 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB3-A14-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB3-A14-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 144 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 29 | 31 | 37 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.29 | 0.31 | 0.37 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 4 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 38 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.48 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-15 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 1 | 2 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 1 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 4 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 40 | 48 | 59 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.33 | 0.40 | 0.49 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.02 | 1.19 | 1.45 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (144) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.18 | 0.21 | 0.26 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB3-A14-(2) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB3-A14-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 345 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 39 | 40 | 42 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-15 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.16 | 1.36 | 1.55 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (345) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.50 | 0.59 | 0.67 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB3-A15-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB3-A15-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 93 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 31 | 33 | 37 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.31 | 0.33 | 0.37 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 4 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 38 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.48 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-15 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 2 | 3 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 1 | 2 | 4 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 4 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 41 | 49 | 61 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.34 | 0.41 | 0.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.05 | 1.22 | 1.47 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (93) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.12 | 0.14 | 0.17 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB3-A16-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 2 | 4 | 6 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB3-A16-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 2 | 4 | 6 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 157 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 21 | 27 | 35 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.21 | 0.27 | 0.35 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 4 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 30 | 37 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.38 | 0.46 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-15 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 1 | 2 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 1 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 2 | 4 | 6 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 4 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 35 | 45 | 58 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.29 | 0.38 | 0.48 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 0.88 | 1.11 | 1.42 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (157) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.17 | 0.22 | 0.28 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB3-A16-(2) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB3-A16-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 327 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 38 | 39 | 41 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.41 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-15 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.15 | 1.35 | 1.54 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (327) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.47 | 0.55 | 0.63 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB3-A17-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB3-A17-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 224 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 38 | 39 | 41 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.41 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-15 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 43 | 53 | 61 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.36 | 0.44 | 0.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.14 | 1.34 | 1.53 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (224) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.32 | 0.38 | 0.43 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB3-A18-(0) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): N/A | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 276 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 40 | 41 | 43 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.40 | 0.41 | 0.43 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Re-Establishment | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 30 | 39 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.38 | 0.49 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-15 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.15 | 1.35 | 1.54 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (276) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.40 | 0.47 | 0.53 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB3-A18-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 2 | 4 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB3-A18-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 2 | 4 | 7 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 103 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 17 | 25 | 36 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.17 | 0.25 | 0.36 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 4 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 30 | 37 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.38 | 0.46 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-15 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 1 | 2 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 1 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 4 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 35 | 44 | 57 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.29 | 0.37 | 0.48 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 0.84 | 1.08 | 1.43 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (103) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.11 | 0.14 | 0.18 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB3-A19-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB3-A19-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 232 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 39 | 40 | 42 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-15 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 43 | 53 | 61 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.36 | 0.44 | 0.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.15 | 1.35 | 1.54 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (232) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.33 | 0.39 | 0.45 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: AX-S2-TRIB3-A20-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): AX-S2-TRIB3-A20-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 205 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 39 | 40 | 42 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone A | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i): 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 33 | 41 | 48 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.60 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: A-15 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 43 | 53 | 61 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.36 | 0.44 | 0.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.16 | 1.35 | 1.53 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (205) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.30 | 0.35 | 0.39 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S15-TRIB3-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S15-TRIB3-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 76 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 31 | 34 | 39 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.31 | 0.34 | 0.39 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 7 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 3 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 31 | 39 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.39 | 0.49 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-3 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 2 | 3 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 1 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 3 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 7 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 40 | 50 | 61 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.33 | 0.42 | 0.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.03 | 1.24 | 1.49 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (76) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.14 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S15-TRIB3-(2a) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 2 | 4 | 6 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S15-TRIB3-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 736 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 28 | 33 | 39 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.28 | 0.33 | 0.39 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 3 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 33 | 39 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.41 | 0.49 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-3 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 2 | 3 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 3 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 39 | 49 | 60 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.33 | 0.41 | 0.50 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.02 | 1.23 | 1.48 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (736) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.94 | 1.13 | 1.36 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S15-TRIB3-(2b) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 2 | 4 | 6 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S15-TRIB3-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 226 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 28 | 33 | 39 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.28 | 0.33 | 0.39 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 3 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 33 | 39 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.41 | 0.49 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-1 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 2 | 3 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 3 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 39 | 49 | 60 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.33 | 0.41 | 0.50 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.02 | 1.23 | 1.48 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (226) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.29 | 0.35 | 0.42 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S15-TRIB3-(3) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S15-TRIB3-(3) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 4 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 476 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 50 | 51 | 53 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.50 | 0.51 | 0.53 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 38 | 47 | 55 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.48 | 0.59 | 0.69 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-1 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 5 | 5 | 5 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 52 | 62 | 70 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.43 | 0.52 | 0.58 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.41 | 1.61 | 1.80 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (476) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.84 | 0.96 | 1.07 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S15-TRIB3-(4) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S15-TRIB3-(4) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 4 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 1,115 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 41 | 45 | 53 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.41 | 0.45 | 0.53 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 7 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 3 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 40 | 46 | 55 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.50 | 0.58 | 0.69 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-1 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 3 | 3 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 3 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 45 | 54 | 66 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.38 | 0.45 | 0.55 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.29 | 1.48 | 1.77 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (1115) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 1.80 | 2.06 | 2.47 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S15-TRIB3-A1-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S15-TRIB3-A1-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 211 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 38 | 39 | 41 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.41 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 40 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-1 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 43 | 53 | 61 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.36 | 0.44 | 0.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.14 | 1.33 | 1.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (211) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.30 | 0.35 | 0.40 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S15-TRIB3-A1-(2) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S15-TRIB3-A1-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 809 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 36 | 39 | 43 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.43 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 4 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 38 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.48 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-1 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 2 | 3 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 1 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 4 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 37 | 48 | 61 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.31 | 0.40 | 0.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.07 | 1.27 | 1.53 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (809) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 1.08 | 1.28 | 1.55 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S15-TRIB3-A1-(3) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S15-TRIB3-A1-(3) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 4 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 149 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 49 | 50 | 52 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.49 | 0.50 | 0.52 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 38 | 47 | 55 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.48 | 0.59 | 0.69 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-1 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 5 | 5 | 5 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 52 | 62 | 70 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.43 | 0.52 | 0.58 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.40 | 1.60 | 1.79 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (149) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.26 | 0.30 | 0.33 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S15-TRIB3-A1-TRIBA-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S15-TRIB3-A1-TRIBA-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 159 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 33 | 34 | 38 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.33 | 0.34 | 0.38 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 35 | 39 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.44 | 0.49 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-1 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 2 | 3 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 1 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 40 | 48 | 59 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.33 | 0.40 | 0.49 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.10 | 1.23 | 1.46 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (159) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.22 | 0.24 | 0.29 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S15-TRIB3-A2-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S15-TRIB3-A2-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 4 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 567 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 40 | 42 | 48 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.40 | 0.42 | 0.48 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 2 | 5 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 5 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 35 | 43 | 55 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.44 | 0.54 | 0.69 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-1 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 2 | 3 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 1 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 2 | 4 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 2 | 4 | 6 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 5 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 2 | 5 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 32 | 46 | 64 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.27 | 0.38 | 0.53 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.10 | 1.34 | 1.70 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (567) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.78 | 0.95 | 1.20 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S15-TRIB3-A3-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S15-TRIB3-A3-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 182 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 30 | 32 | 35 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.30 | 0.32 | 0.35 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 3 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 3 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 27 | 37 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.34 | 0.46 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-1 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 2 | 3 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 1 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 3 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 3 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 33 | 45 | 58 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.28 | 0.38 | 0.48 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 0.91 | 1.16 | 1.42 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (182) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.21 | 0.26 | 0.32 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S15-TRIB3-A3-(2) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): N/A | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 429 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 39 | 40 | 42 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Re-Establishment | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-1 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.16 | 1.36 | 1.55 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (429) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.62 | 0.73 | 0.83 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S15-TRIB3-A3-(3) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S15-TRIB3-A3-(3) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 354 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 29 | 30 | 35 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.29 | 0.30 | 0.35 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 35 | 39 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.44 | 0.49 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-1 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 1 | 2 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 1 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 35 | 44 | 57 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.29 | 0.37 | 0.48 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.02 | 1.15 | 1.41 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (354) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.45 | 0.51 | 0.62 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S15-TRIB3-A3-(4) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S15-TRIB3-A3-(4) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 5 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 317 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 40 | 41 | 48 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.40 | 0.41 | 0.48 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 43 | 47 | 55 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.54 | 0.59 | 0.69 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-1 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 1 | 2 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 1 | 2 | 4 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 3 | 4 | 6 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 42 | 50 | 64 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.35 | 0.42 | 0.53 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.29 | 1.41 | 1.70 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (317) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.51 | 0.56 | 0.67 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S15-TRIB3-A3-(5) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S15-TRIB3-A3-(5) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 4 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 385 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 50 | 51 | 53 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.50 | 0.51 | 0.53 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 38 | 47 | 55 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.48 | 0.59 | 0.69 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-1 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 5 | 5 | 5 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 52 | 62 | 70 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.43 | 0.52 | 0.58 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.41 | 1.61 | 1.80 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (385) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.68 | 0.77 | 0.87 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S15-TRIB3-A3-TRIBA-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S15-TRIB3-A3-TRIBA-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 266 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 26 | 29 | 35 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.26 | 0.29 | 0.35 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 5 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 2 | 5 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 33 | 38 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.41 | 0.48 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-1 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 1 | 2 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 1 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 5 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 2 | 5 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 1 | 4 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 34 | 44 | 57 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.28 | 0.37 | 0.48 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 0.96 | 1.13 | 1.41 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (266) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.32 | 0.38 | 0.47 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S15-TRIB3-A3-TRIBB-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S15-TRIB3-A3-TRIBB-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 59 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 25 | 29 | 35 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.25 | 0.29 | 0.35 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 7 | 8 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 34 | 40 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.43 | 0.50 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-1 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 1 | 2 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 1 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 3 | 4 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 2 | 4 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 7 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 32 | 42 | 55 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.27 | 0.35 | 0.46 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 0.94 | 1.14 | 1.40 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (59) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.10 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S15-TRIB3-A3-TRIBB-(2) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): N/A | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 311 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 36 | 37 | 39 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.36 | 0.37 | 0.39 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Re-Establishment | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-1 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 41 | 51 | 59 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.34 | 0.43 | 0.49 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.10 | 1.31 | 1.49 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (311) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.43 | 0.51 | 0.58 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S15-TRIB3-A4-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S15-TRIB3-A4-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 186 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 36 | 37 | 39 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.36 | 0.37 | 0.39 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-1 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 41 | 51 | 59 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.34 | 0.43 | 0.49 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.10 | 1.31 | 1.49 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (186) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.26 | 0.30 | 0.35 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S15-TRIB3-A5-(1a) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S15-TRIB3-A5-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 530 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 36 | 37 | 39 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.36 | 0.37 | 0.39 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-1 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 42 | 52 | 60 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.35 | 0.43 | 0.50 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.11 | 1.32 | 1.50 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (530) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.74 | 0.87 | 0.99 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S15-TRIB3-A5-(1b) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S15-TRIB3-A5-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 538 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 38 | 39 | 41 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.41 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-1 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.15 | 1.35 | 1.54 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (538) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.77 | 0.91 | 1.04 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S15-TRIB3-A5-TRIBA-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S15-TRIB3-A5-TRIBA-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 300 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 36 | 37 | 39 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.36 | 0.37 | 0.39 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-1 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 41 | 51 | 59 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.34 | 0.43 | 0.49 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.10 | 1.31 | 1.49 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (300) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.41 | 0.49 | 0.56 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S15-TRIB3-A6-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S15-TRIB3-A6-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 830 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 39 | 40 | 42 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 40 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-1 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.16 | 1.35 | 1.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (830) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 1.20 | 1.40 | 1.58 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S15-TRIB3-A7-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S15-TRIB3-A7-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 457 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 30 | 30 | 35 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.35 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 4 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 1 | 5 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 37 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.46 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-1 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 1 | 2 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 1 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 1 | 5 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 32 | 43 | 56 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.27 | 0.36 | 0.47 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 0.97 | 1.12 | 1.40 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (457) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.55 | 0.64 | 0.80 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S15-TRIB3-A8-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S15-TRIB3-A8-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 455 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 38 | 39 | 41 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.41 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 40 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-1, B-3 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 43 | 53 | 61 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.36 | 0.44 | 0.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.14 | 1.33 | 1.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (455) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.65 | 0.76 | 0.86 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S15-TRIB3-A9-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S15-TRIB3-A9-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 126 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 38 | 39 | 41 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.41 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-1 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 43 | 53 | 61 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.36 | 0.44 | 0.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.14 | 1.34 | 1.53 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (126) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.18 | 0.21 | 0.24 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 4 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 912 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 53 | 54 | 56 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.53 | 0.54 | 0.56 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 38 | 47 | 55 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.48 | 0.59 | 0.69 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-8 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 5 | 5 | 5 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 52 | 62 | 70 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.43 | 0.52 | 0.58 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.44 | 1.64 | 1.83 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (912) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 1.64 | 1.87 | 2.09 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-(2a) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 4 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 1,305 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 49 | 50 | 52 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.49 | 0.50 | 0.52 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 38 | 47 | 55 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.48 | 0.59 | 0.69 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-8 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 5 | 5 | 5 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 52 | 62 | 70 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.43 | 0.52 | 0.58 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.40 | 1.60 | 1.79 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (1305) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 2.28 | 2.61 | 2.92 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-(2b) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 4 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 945 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 47 | 48 | 50 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.47 | 0.48 | 0.50 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 38 | 47 | 55 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.48 | 0.59 | 0.69 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-5 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 5 | 5 | 5 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 50 | 60 | 68 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.42 | 0.50 | 0.57 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.36 | 1.57 | 1.75 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (945) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 1.61 | 1.85 | 2.07 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB7-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB7-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 4 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 613 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 53 | 54 | 56 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.53 | 0.54 | 0.56 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 38 | 47 | 55 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.48 | 0.59 | 0.69 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-7 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 5 | 5 | 5 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 52 | 62 | 70 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.43 | 0.52 | 0.58 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.44 | 1.64 | 1.83 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (613) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 1.10 | 1.26 | 1.40 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB7-(2) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB7-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 4 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 935 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 52 | 53 | 55 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.52 | 0.53 | 0.55 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 38 | 47 | 55 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.48 | 0.59 | 0.69 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-7 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 5 | 5 | 5 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 52 | 62 | 70 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.43 | 0.52 | 0.58 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.43 | 1.63 | 1.82 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (935) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 1.67 | 1.91 | 2.13 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB7-(3) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): N/A | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 4 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 1,429 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 51 | 52 | 54 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.51 | 0.52 | 0.54 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Re-Establishment | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 38 | 47 | 55 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.48 | 0.59 | 0.69 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-7 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 5 | 5 | 5 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 52 | 62 | 70 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.43 | 0.52 | 0.58 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.42 | 1.62 | 1.81 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (1429) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 2.54 | 2.89 | 3.23 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB7-(4) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB7-(4) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 4 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 420 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 51 | 52 | 54 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.51 | 0.52 | 0.54 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 38 | 47 | 55 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.48 | 0.59 | 0.69 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-4 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 5 | 5 | 5 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 52 | 62 | 70 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.43 | 0.52 | 0.58 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.42 | 1.62 | 1.81 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (420) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.75 | 0.85 | 0.95 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB7-(5) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB7-(5) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 4 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 1,597 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 49 | 50 | 52 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.49 | 0.50 | 0.52 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 38 | 47 | 55 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.48 | 0.59 | 0.69 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-4 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 5 | 5 | 5 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 52 | 62 | 70 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.43 | 0.52 | 0.58 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.40 | 1.60 | 1.79 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (1597) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 2.79 | 3.19 | 3.57 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB7-A2-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): N/A | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 588 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 36 | 37 | 39 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.36 | 0.37 | 0.39 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Re-Establishment | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 40 | 46 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0.58 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-4 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 42 | 52 | 60 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.35 | 0.43 | 0.50 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.11 | 1.30 | 1.47 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (588) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.82 | 0.96 | 1.08 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB7-A2-(2) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB7-A2-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 411 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 38 | 39 | 41 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.41 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 39 | 46 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.49 | 0.58 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-4 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.15 | 1.33 | 1.50 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (411) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.59 | 0.68 | 0.77 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB7-A3-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB7-A3-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 176 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 30 | 33 | 37 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.30 | 0.33 | 0.37 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 2 | 4 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 1 | 5 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 29 | 37 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.36 | 0.46 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-4 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 1 | 2 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 1 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 2 | 4 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 1 | 5 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 4 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 32 | 44 | 58 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.27 | 0.37 | 0.48 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 0.93 | 1.16 | 1.44 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (176) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.20 | 0.26 | 0.32 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB7-A3-(2a) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB7-A3-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 322 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 38 | 39 | 41 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.41 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 40 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-4 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 45 | 55 | 63 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.38 | 0.46 | 0.53 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.16 | 1.35 | 1.52 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (322) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.47 | 0.54 | 0.61 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB7-A3-(2b) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB7-A3-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 408 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 39 | 40 | 42 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 40 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-4 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 45 | 55 | 63 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.38 | 0.46 | 0.53 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.17 | 1.36 | 1.53 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (408) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.60 | 0.69 | 0.78 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB7-A3-(2c) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB7-A3-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 492 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 39 | 40 | 42 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 40 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-4 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 45 | 55 | 63 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.38 | 0.46 | 0.53 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.17 | 1.36 | 1.53 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (492) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.72 | 0.84 | 0.94 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB7-A3-(2d) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB7-A3-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 570 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 42 | 43 | 45 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.42 | 0.43 | 0.45 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 40 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-4 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 45 | 55 | 63 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.38 | 0.46 | 0.53 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.20 | 1.39 | 1.56 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (570) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.86 | 0.99 | 1.11 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB7-A3-(3) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): N/A | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 821 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 39 | 40 | 42 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Re-Establishment | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 33 | 41 | 48 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.60 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-4 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 45 | 55 | 63 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.38 | 0.46 | 0.53 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.18 | 1.37 | 1.55 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (821) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 1.21 | 1.41 | 1.59 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB7-A3-(4) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB7-A3-TRIBA-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 407 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 39 | 40 | 42 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-4 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 45 | 55 | 63 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.38 | 0.46 | 0.53 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.17 | 1.37 | 1.56 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (407) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.60 | 0.70 | 0.79 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB7-A3-TRIBA-(1a) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB7-A3-TRIBA-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 607 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 36 | 37 | 39 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.36 | 0.37 | 0.39 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 40 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-4 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 42 | 52 | 60 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.35 | 0.43 | 0.50 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.11 | 1.30 | 1.48 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (607) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.84 | 0.99 | 1.12 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB7-A3-TRIBA-(1b) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB7-A3-TRIBA-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 537 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 39 | 40 | 42 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 40 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-4 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.16 | 1.35 | 1.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (537) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.78 | 0.91 | 1.02 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB7-A3-TRIBA-AA-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB7-A3-TRIBA-AA-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 165 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 38 | 39 | 41 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.41 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 40 | 46 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0.58 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-4 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 43 | 53 | 61 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.36 | 0.44 | 0.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.14 | 1.33 | 1.49 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (165) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.24 | 0.27 | 0.31 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB7-A3-TRIBA-AB-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB7-A3-TRIBA-AB-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 215 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 38 | 39 | 41 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.41 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 40 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-4 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 43 | 53 | 61 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.36 | 0.44 | 0.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.14 | 1.33 | 1.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (215) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.31 | 0.36 | 0.41 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB7-A3-TRIBB-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB7-A3-TRIBB-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 4 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 167 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 41 | 42 | 44 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.41 | 0.42 | 0.44 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 40 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-4 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 43 | 53 | 61 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.36 | 0.44 | 0.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.17 | 1.36 | 1.54 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (167) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.24 | 0.28 | 0.32 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB7-A3-TRIBC-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB7-A3-TRIBC-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 249 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 36 | 37 | 39 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.36 | 0.37 | 0.39 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 39 | 46 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.49 | 0.58 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-4 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 41 | 51 | 59 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.34 | 0.43 | 0.49 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.10 | 1.28 | 1.46 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (249) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.34 | 0.40 | 0.45 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB7-A3-TRIBD-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB7-A3-TRIBD-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 121 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 36 | 37 | 39 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.36 | 0.37 | 0.39 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-4 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 41 | 51 | 59 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.34 | 0.43 | 0.49 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.10 | 1.31 | 1.49 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (121) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.17 | 0.20 | 0.23 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB7-A3-TRIBE-(1a) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB7-A3-TRIBE-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 151 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 38 | 39 | 41 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.41 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-4 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.15 | 1.35 | 1.54 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (151) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.22 | 0.25 | 0.29 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB7-A3-TRIBE-(1b) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB7-A3-TRIBE-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 291 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 39 | 40 | 42 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-4 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.16 | 1.36 | 1.55 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (291) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.42 | 0.49 | 0.56 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB7-A3-TRIBE-(1c) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB7-A3-TRIBE-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 220 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 38 | 39 | 41 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.41 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-4 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.15 | 1.35 | 1.54 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (220) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.32 | 0.37 | 0.42 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB7-A3-TRIBF-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB7-A3-TRIBF-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 453 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 28 | 30 | 36 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.28 | 0.30 | 0.36 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 2 | 4 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 2 | 5 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 37 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.46 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-7 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 1 | 2 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 1 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 2 | 5 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 4 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 38 | 46 | 59 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.32 | 0.38 | 0.49 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.00 | 1.15 | 1.44 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (453) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.57 | 0.65 | 0.82 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB7-A3-TRIBF-(2) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB7-A3-TRIBF-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 573 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 38 | 39 | 41 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.41 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 40 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-4 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.15 | 1.34 | 1.51 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (573) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.82 | 0.96 | 1.08 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB7-A3-TRIBF-AA-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB7-A3-TRIBF-AA-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 369 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 34 | 34 | 35 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.35 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 39 | 45 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.49 | 0.56 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-7 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 42 | 51 | 58 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.35 | 0.43 | 0.48 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.09 | 1.25 | 1.40 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (369) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.50 | 0.58 | 0.65 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB7-A3-TRIBG-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB7-A3-TRIBG-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 403 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 38 | 39 | 41 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.41 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 40 | 46 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0.58 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-4 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 43 | 53 | 61 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.36 | 0.44 | 0.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.14 | 1.33 | 1.49 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (403) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.57 | 0.67 | 0.75 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB7-A3-TRIBH-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB7-A3-TRIBH-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 259 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 38 | 39 | 41 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.41 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 39 | 46 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.49 | 0.58 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-4 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 43 | 53 | 61 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.36 | 0.44 | 0.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.14 | 1.32 | 1.49 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (259) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.37 | 0.43 | 0.48 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB7-A3-TRIB1(-1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB7-A3-TRIB1(-1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 366 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 39 | 40 | 42 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 33 | 41 | 48 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.60 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-4 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 43 | 53 | 61 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.36 | 0.44 | 0.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.16 | 1.35 | 1.53 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (366) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.53 | 0.62 | 0.70 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB7-A4-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB7-A4-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 436 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 47 | 47 | 48 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.48 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 4 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 46 | 50 | 57 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.58 | 0.63 | 0.71 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-8 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 3 | 4 | 5 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 2 | 4 | 6 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 4 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 54 | 59 | 67 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.45 | 0.49 | 0.56 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.50 | 1.59 | 1.75 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (436) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.82 | 0.87 | 0.95 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB7-A4-(2) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): N/A | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 359 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 40 | 41 | 43 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.40 | 0.41 | 0.43 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Re-Establishment | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-5, B-8 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.17 | 1.37 | 1.56 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (359) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.53 | 0.61 | 0.70 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB7-A4-(3) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB7-A4-(3) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 237 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 40 | 41 | 43 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.40 | 0.41 | 0.43 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-5 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.17 | 1.37 | 1.56 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (237) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.35 | 0.41 | 0.46 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB7-A5-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB7-A5-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 451 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 30 | 31 | 35 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.30 | 0.31 | 0.35 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 3 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 4 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 29 | 37 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.36 | 0.46 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-7 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 1 | 2 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 1 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 4 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 3 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 33 | 43 | 55 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.28 | 0.36 | 0.46 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 0.94 | 1.13 | 1.40 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (451) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.53 | 0.64 | 0.79 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB7-A6-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB7-A6-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 559 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 36 | 37 | 39 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.36 | 0.37 | 0.39 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 39 | 46 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.49 | 0.58 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-7 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 41 | 51 | 59 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.34 | 0.43 | 0.49 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.10 | 1.28 | 1.46 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (559) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.77 | 0.89 | 1.02 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB7-A6-TRIBA-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB7-A6-TRIBA-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 461 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 36 | 37 | 39 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.36 | 0.37 | 0.39 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 39 | 46 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.49 | 0.58 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-7 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 41 | 51 | 59 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.34 | 0.43 | 0.49 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.10 | 1.28 | 1.46 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (461) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.63 | 0.74 | 0.84 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB7-A6-TRIBB-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB7-A6-TRIBB-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 373 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 38 | 39 | 41 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.41 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 39 | 46 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.49 | 0.58 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-7 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 43 | 53 | 61 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.36 | 0.44 | 0.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.14 | 1.32 | 1.49 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (373) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.53 | 0.62 | 0.69 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB7-A7-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB7-A7-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 4 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 664 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 52 | 52 | 53 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.53 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 7 | 8 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 49 | 52 | 58 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.61 | 0.65 | 0.73 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-7 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 7 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 66 | 68 | 72 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.55 | 0.57 | 0.60 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.68 | 1.74 | 1.86 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (664) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 1.39 | 1.44 | 1.54 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB8-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB8-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 708 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 28 | 30 | 37 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.28 | 0.30 | 0.37 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 4 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 4 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 33 | 38 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.41 | 0.48 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-3 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 1 | 2 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 1 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 4 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 4 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 39 | 47 | 59 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.33 | 0.39 | 0.49 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.02 | 1.17 | 1.45 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (708) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.90 | 1.04 | 1.28 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB8-(2a) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB8-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 4 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 276 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 50 | 51 | 53 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.50 | 0.51 | 0.53 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 38 | 46 | 52 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.48 | 0.58 | 0.65 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-3 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 5 | 5 | 5 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 52 | 62 | 70 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.43 | 0.52 | 0.58 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.41 | 1.60 | 1.76 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (276) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.49 | 0.55 | 0.61 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB8-(2b) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB8-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 4 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 388 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 49 | 50 | 52 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.49 | 0.50 | 0.52 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 38 | 46 | 52 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.48 | 0.58 | 0.65 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-3 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 5 | 5 | 5 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 52 | 62 | 70 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.43 | 0.52 | 0.58 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.40 | 1.59 | 1.75 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (388) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.68 | 0.77 | 0.85 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB8-(2c) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB8-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 4 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 1,171 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 50 | 51 | 53 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.50 | 0.51 | 0.53 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 38 | 46 | 52 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.48 | 0.58 | 0.65 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-2 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 5 | 5 | 5 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 52 | 62 | 70 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.43 | 0.52 | 0.58 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.41 | 1.60 | 1.76 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (1171) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 2.06 | 2.34 | 2.58 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB8-A1-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): N/A | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 511 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 36 | 37 | 39 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.36 | 0.37 | 0.39 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Re-Establishment | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-2 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 41 | 51 | 59 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.34 | 0.43 | 0.49 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.10 | 1.31 | 1.49 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (511) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.70 | 0.84 | 0.95 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB8-A1-(2) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB8-A1-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 139 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 33 | 34 | 36 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.33 | 0.34 | 0.36 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 7 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 39 | 42 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.49 | 0.53 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-2 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 1 | 2 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 1 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 7 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 42 | 48 | 57 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.35 | 0.40 | 0.48 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.17 | 1.27 | 1.42 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (139) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.20 | 0.22 | 0.25 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB8-A1-(3) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB8-A1-(3) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 221 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 37 | 38 | 40 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.37 | 0.38 | 0.40 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-2 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 42 | 52 | 60 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.35 | 0.43 | 0.50 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.12 | 1.33 | 1.51 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (221) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.31 | 0.37 | 0.42 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB8-A2-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB8-A2-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 721 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 26 | 30 | 37 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.26 | 0.30 | 0.37 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 4 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 36 | 39 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.45 | 0.49 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-2 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 1 | 2 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 1 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 3 | 4 | 6 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 4 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 38 | 46 | 58 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.32 | 0.38 | 0.48 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.03 | 1.17 | 1.44 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (721) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.93 | 1.05 | 1.30 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB8-A2-(2) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB8-A2-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 411 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 37 | 38 | 40 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.37 | 0.38 | 0.40 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-2 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 43 | 53 | 61 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.36 | 0.44 | 0.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.13 | 1.33 | 1.52 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (411) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.58 | 0.68 | 0.78 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB8-A3-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB8-A3-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 356 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 30 | 32 | 36 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.30 | 0.32 | 0.36 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 3 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 4 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 33 | 38 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.41 | 0.48 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-2 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 2 | 3 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 1 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 2 | 4 | 6 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 4 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 3 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 35 | 45 | 57 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.29 | 0.38 | 0.48 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.00 | 1.17 | 1.42 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (356) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.45 | 0.52 | 0.63 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB8-A3-(2) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): N/A | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 171 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 36 | 37 | 39 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.36 | 0.37 | 0.39 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Re-Establishment | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-2 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 41 | 51 | 59 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.34 | 0.43 | 0.49 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.10 | 1.31 | 1.49 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (171) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.24 | 0.28 | 0.32 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB8-A3-(3) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB8-A3-(3) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 129 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 31 | 33 | 37 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.31 | 0.33 | 0.37 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 7 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 4 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 37 | 40 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.46 | 0.50 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-2 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 2 | 3 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 5 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 2 | 4 | 6 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 4 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 7 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 41 | 49 | 58 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.34 | 0.41 | 0.48 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.11 | 1.24 | 1.44 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (129) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.18 | 0.20 | 0.23 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB8-A4-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB8-A4-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 596 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 34 | 34 | 36 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.36 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 3 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 7 | 8 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 38 | 42 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.48 | 0.53 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-3 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 1 | 2 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 7 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 3 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 45 | 52 | 59 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.38 | 0.43 | 0.49 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.19 | 1.30 | 1.44 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (596) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.89 | 0.97 | 1.07 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB8-A4-(2) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB8-A4-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 185 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 36 | 37 | 39 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.36 | 0.37 | 0.39 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-3 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 41 | 51 | 59 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.34 | 0.43 | 0.49 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.10 | 1.31 | 1.49 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (185) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.25 | 0.30 | 0.34 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB8-A5-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB8-A5-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 849 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 38 | 39 | 41 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.41 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-3 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.15 | 1.35 | 1.54 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (849) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 1.22 | 1.43 | 1.63 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB8-A6-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB8-A6-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 113 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 30 | 31 | 37 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.30 | 0.31 | 0.37 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 3 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 3 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 31 | 38 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.39 | 0.48 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-3 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 1 | 2 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 1 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 5 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 3 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 3 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 34 | 45 | 56 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.28 | 0.38 | 0.47 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 0.97 | 1.16 | 1.42 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (113) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.14 | 0.16 | 0.20 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB10-(1a) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB10-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 4 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 1,187 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 49 | 50 | 52 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.49 | 0.50 | 0.52 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 38 | 47 | 55 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.48 | 0.59 | 0.69 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-9 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 5 | 5 | 5 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 52 | 62 | 70 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.43 | 0.52 | 0.58 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.40 | 1.60 | 1.79 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (1187) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 2.08 | 2.37 | 2.66 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB10-(1b) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB10-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 4 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 429 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 47 | 48 | 50 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.47 | 0.48 | 0.50 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 38 | 47 | 55 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.48 | 0.59 | 0.69 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-9 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 5 | 5 | 5 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 50 | 60 | 68 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.42 | 0.50 | 0.57 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.36 | 1.57 | 1.75 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (429) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.73 | 0.84 | 0.94 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB10-(2) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB10-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 4 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 517 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 50 | 51 | 53 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.50 | 0.51 | 0.53 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 38 | 47 | 55 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.48 | 0.59 | 0.69 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-8, B-9 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 5 | 5 | 5 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 52 | 62 | 70 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.43 | 0.52 | 0.58 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.41 | 1.61 | 1.80 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (517) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.91 | 1.04 | 1.16 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB10-A1-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): N/A | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 490 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 38 | 39 | 41 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.41 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Re-Establishment | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-9 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 43 | 53 | 61 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.36 | 0.44 | 0.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.14 | 1.34 | 1.53 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (490) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.70 | 0.82 | 0.94 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB10-A1-(2a) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB10-A1-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 378 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 40 | 41 | 43 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.40 | 0.41 | 0.43 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-9 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.17 | 1.37 | 1.56 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (378) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.55 | 0.65 | 0.74 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB10-A1-(2b) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB10-A1-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 599 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 37 | 38 | 40 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.37 | 0.38 | 0.40 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-9 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 43 | 53 | 61 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.36 | 0.44 | 0.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.13 | 1.33 | 1.52 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (599) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.14 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB11-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB11-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 4 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 1,108 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 52 | 53 | 55 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.52 | 0.53 | 0.55 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 38 | 47 | 55 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.48 | 0.59 | 0.69 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-8 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 5 | 5 | 5 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 52 | 62 | 70 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.43 | 0.52 | 0.58 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.43 | 1.63 | 1.82 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (1108) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 1.98 | 2.26 | 2.52 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB11-(2) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB11-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 4 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 1,040 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 51 | 52 | 54 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.51 | 0.52 | 0.54 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 38 | 47 | 55 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.48 | 0.59 | 0.69 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-8 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 5 | 5 | 5 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 52 | 62 | 70 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.43 | 0.52 | 0.58 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.42 | 1.62 | 1.81 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (1040) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 1.85 | 2.11 | 2.35 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB11-A1-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB11-A1-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 126 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 39 | 39 | 40 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.40 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 44 | 45 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.55 | 0.56 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-8 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 2 | 3 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 1 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 56 | 59 | 64 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.47 | 0.49 | 0.53 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.41 | 1.44 | 1.55 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (126) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.22 | 0.23 | 0.24 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB11-A1-(2) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB11-A1-(2), S16-TRIB11-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 95 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 37 | 38 | 40 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.37 | 0.38 | 0.40 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-8 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 42 | 52 | 60 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.35 | 0.43 | 0.50 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.12 | 1.33 | 1.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (95) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.13 | 0.16 | 0.18 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB11-A2-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB11-A2-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 72 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 38 | 39 | 41 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.41 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 44 | 45 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.55 | 0.56 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-8 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 1 | 2 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 1 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 54 | 57 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.45 | 0.48 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.38 | 1.43 | 1.54 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (72) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.12 | 0.13 | 0.14 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB11-A2-(2) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB11-A2-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 79 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 36 | 37 | 39 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.36 | 0.37 | 0.39 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-8 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 41 | 51 | 59 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.34 | 0.43 | 0.49 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.10 | 1.31 | 1.49 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (79) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.11 | 0.13 | 0.15 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB11-A3-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB11-A3-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 65 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 39 | 39 | 40 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.40 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 7 | 8 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 42 | 44 | 48 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.53 | 0.55 | 0.60 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-8 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 2 | 3 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 1 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 7 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 53 | 57 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.44 | 0.48 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.36 | 1.42 | 1.52 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (65) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.11 | 0.12 | 0.12 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB11-A3-(2) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB11-A3-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 291 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 30 | 32 | 39 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.30 | 0.32 | 0.39 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 4 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 37 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.46 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-8 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 2 | 3 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 1 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 4 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 6 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 39 | 48 | 60 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.33 | 0.40 | 0.50 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.03 | 1.18 | 1.48 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (291) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.37 | 0.43 | 0.54 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB11-A3-(3) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB11-A3-(3) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 106 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 36 | 37 | 39 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.36 | 0.37 | 0.39 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-8 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 41 | 51 | 59 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.34 | 0.43 | 0.49 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.10 | 1.31 | 1.49 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (106) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.15 | 0.17 | 0.20 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB12-(1a) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB12-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 581 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 38 | 39 | 41 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.41 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-9 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.15 | 1.35 | 1.54 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (581) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.84 | 0.98 | 1.12 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB12-(1b) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB12-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 822 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 38 | 39 | 41 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.41 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-9 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.15 | 1.35 | 1.54 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (822) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 1.18 | 1.39 | 1.58 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB13-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB13-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 699 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 32 | 32 | 35 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.35 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 7 | 8 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 43 | 44 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.54 | 0.55 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-8 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 2 | 3 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 1 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 7 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 52 | 55 | 60 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.43 | 0.46 | 0.50 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.29 | 1.33 | 1.44 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (699) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 1.13 | 1.16 | 1.26 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S16-TRIB13-(2) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S16-TRIB13-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 192 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 37 | 38 | 40 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.37 | 0.38 | 0.40 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone B | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification: Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: B-8 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 41 | 51 | 59 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.34 | 0.43 | 0.49 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.11 | 1.32 | 1.50 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (192) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.27 | 0.32 | 0.36 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S25-(7) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): N/A | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 4 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 641 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 48 | 49 | 51 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.48 | 0.49 | 0.51 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Re-Establishment | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 38 | 47 | 55 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.48 | 0.59 | 0.69 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-12 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 5 | 5 | 5 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 50 | 60 | 68 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.42 | 0.50 | 0.57 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.37 | 1.58 | 1.76 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (641) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 1.10 | 1.27 | 1.41 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S25-(8) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S25-(8) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 4 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 3,619 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 37 | 42 | 49 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.37 | 0.42 | 0.49 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 7 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 1 | 5 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 39 | 46 | 55 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.49 | 0.58 | 0.69 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-9, C-12 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 1 | 2 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 1 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 1 | 3 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 1 | 4 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 1 | 5 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 35 | 48 | 63 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.29 | 0.40 | 0.53 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.15 | 1.40 | 1.70 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (3619) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 5.20 | 6.33 | 7.69 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S25-(9a) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S25-(9) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 4 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 4,212 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 51 | 52 | 54 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.51 | 0.52 | 0.54 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 39 | 46 | 52 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.49 | 0.58 | 0.65 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-6 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 5 | 5 | 5 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 51 | 61 | 69 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.43 | 0.51 | 0.58 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.42 | 1.60 | 1.77 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (4212) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 7.48 | 8.42 | 9.32 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S25-(9b) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S25-(9) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 4 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 1,480 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 52 | 53 | 55 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.52 | 0.53 | 0.55 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 39 | 46 | 52 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.49 | 0.58 | 0.65 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-3 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 5 | 5 | 5 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 51 | 61 | 69 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.43 | 0.51 | 0.58 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.43 | 1.61 | 1.78 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (1480) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 2.65 | 2.98 | 3.29 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S25-TRIB1-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S25-TRIB1-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 603 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 37 | 37 | 40 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.37 | 0.37 | 0.40 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 38 | 40 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.48 | 0.50 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-2 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 1 | 2 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 1 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 50 | 52 | 59 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.42 | 0.43 | 0.49 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.26 | 1.30 | 1.48 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (603) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.95 | 0.98 | 1.12 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S25-TRIB1-(2a) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S25-TRIB1-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 4 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 683 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 50 | 51 | 53 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.50 | 0.51 | 0.53 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 38 | 47 | 55 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.48 | 0.59 | 0.69 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-3 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 5 | 5 | 5 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 51 | 61 | 69 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.43 | 0.51 | 0.58 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.40 | 1.61 | 1.79 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (683) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 1.20 | 1.37 | 1.53 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S25-TRIB1-(2b) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S25-TRIB1-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 4 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 270 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 49 | 50 | 52 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.49 | 0.50 | 0.52 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 38 | 47 | 55 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.48 | 0.59 | 0.69 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-3 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 5 | 5 | 5 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 51 | 61 | 69 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.43 | 0.51 | 0.58 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.39 | 1.60 | 1.78 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (270) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.47 | 0.54 | 0.60 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S25-TRIB1-A1-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S25-TRIB1-A1-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 268 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 36 | 37 | 39 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.36 | 0.37 | 0.39 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-3 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 42 | 52 | 60 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.35 | 0.43 | 0.50 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.11 | 1.32 | 1.50 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (268) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.37 | 0.44 | 0.50 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S25-TRIB2-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): N/A | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 535 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 39 | 40 | 42 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Re-Establishment | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 33 | 41 | 48 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.60 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-5 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 43 | 53 | 61 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.36 | 0.44 | 0.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.16 | 1.35 | 1.53 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (535) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.78 | 0.90 | 1.02 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S25-TRIB2-(2) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S25-TRIB2-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 714 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 29 | 31 | 36 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.29 | 0.31 | 0.36 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 4 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 3 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 4 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 27 | 35 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.34 | 0.44 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-6 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 1 | 2 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 1 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 4 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 3 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 38 | 46 | 58 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.32 | 0.38 | 0.48 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 0.94 | 1.13 | 1.43 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (714) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.84 | 1.01 | 1.28 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S25-TRIB2-(3) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S25-TRIB2-(3) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 4 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 406 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 49 | 50 | 52 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.49 | 0.50 | 0.52 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 39 | 47 | 54 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.49 | 0.59 | 0.68 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-6 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 5 | 5 | 5 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 51 | 61 | 69 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.43 | 0.51 | 0.58 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.40 | 1.60 | 1.77 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (406) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.71 | 0.81 | 0.90 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S25-TRIB3-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S25-TRIB3-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 681 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 38 | 39 | 41 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.41 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-6 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.15 | 1.35 | 1.54 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (681) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.98 | 1.15 | 1.31 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S25-TRIB4-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S25-TRIB4-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 317 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 37 | 38 | 40 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.37 | 0.38 | 0.40 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 40 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-5 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 42 | 52 | 60 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.35 | 0.43 | 0.50 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.12 | 1.31 | 1.49 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (317) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.44 | 0.52 | 0.59 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S25-TRIB4-(2) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S25-TRIB4-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 1,406 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 39 | 40 | 42 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 33 | 41 | 48 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.60 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-6 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.17 | 1.36 | 1.54 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (1406) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 2.06 | 2.39 | 2.71 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S25-TRIB5-(0) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): N/A | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 1,654 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 39 | 40 | 42 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-6 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.16 | 1.36 | 1.55 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (1654) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 2.40 | 2.81 | 3.20 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S25-TRIB5-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S25-TRIB5-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 443 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 38 | 39 | 41 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.41 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-6 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.15 | 1.35 | 1.54 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (443) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.64 | 0.75 | 0.85 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S25-TRIB6-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): N/A | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 1,908 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 40 | 41 | 43 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.40 | 0.41 | 0.43 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Re-Establishment | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 33 | 41 | 48 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.60 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-5, C-6 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 43 | 53 | 61 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.36 | 0.44 | 0.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.17 | 1.36 | 1.54 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (1908) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 2.79 | 3.24 | 3.67 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S25-TRIB6-(2) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S25-TRIB6-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 4 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 909 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 48 | 48 | 51 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.51 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 1 | 5 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 37 | 46 | 55 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.46 | 0.58 | 0.69 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-6 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 1 | 2 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 1 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 1 | 5 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 41 | 52 | 64 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.34 | 0.43 | 0.53 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.28 | 1.49 | 1.73 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (909) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 1.45 | 1.69 | 1.97 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S25-TRIB9-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S25-TRIB9-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 391 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 36 | 37 | 39 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.36 | 0.37 | 0.39 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 33 | 41 | 48 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.60 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-9 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 42 | 52 | 60 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.35 | 0.43 | 0.50 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.12 | 1.32 | 1.49 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (391) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.55 | 0.65 | 0.73 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S25-TRIB10-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S25-TRIB10-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 837 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 38 | 39 | 41 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.41 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 40 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-9 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 43 | 53 | 61 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.36 | 0.44 | 0.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.14 | 1.33 | 1.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (837) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 1.19 | 1.39 | 1.58 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S25-TRIB10-(2) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): N/A | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 322 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 36 | 37 | 39 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.36 | 0.37 | 0.39 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Re-Establishment | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 33 | 41 | 48 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.60 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-9 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 42 | 52 | 60 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.35 | 0.43 | 0.50 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.12 | 1.32 | 1.49 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (322) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.45 | 0.53 | 0.60 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S25-TRIB10-(3) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S25-TRIB10-(3) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 395 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 47 | 48 | 50 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.47 | 0.48 | 0.50 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 38 | 46 | 53 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.48 | 0.58 | 0.66 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-9 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 5 | 5 | 5 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 51 | 61 | 69 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.43 | 0.51 | 0.58 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.37 | 1.56 | 1.74 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (395) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.68 | 0.77 | 0.86 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S25-TRIB10-A1-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): N/A | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 692 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 36 | 37 | 39 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.36 | 0.37 | 0.39 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Re-Establishment | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 33 | 41 | 48 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.60 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-9 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 41 | 51 | 59 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.34 | 0.43 | 0.49 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.11 | 1.31 | 1.48 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (692) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.96 | 1.13 | 1.28 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S25-TRIB11-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): N/A | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 1,147 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 36 | 37 | 39 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.36 | 0.37 | 0.39 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Re-Establishment | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 33 | 41 | 48 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.60 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-9 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 41 | 51 | 59 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.34 | 0.43 | 0.49 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.11 | 1.31 | 1.48 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (1147) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 1.59 | 1.88 | 2.12 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S25-TRIB11-(2) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S25-TRIB11-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 370 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 36 | 37 | 39 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.36 | 0.37 | 0.39 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 33 | 41 | 48 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.60 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-9 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 41 | 51 | 59 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.34 | 0.43 | 0.49 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.11 | 1.31 | 1.48 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (370) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.51 | 0.61 | 0.68 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S25-TRIB12-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S25-TRIB12-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 334 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 36 | 37 | 39 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.36 | 0.37 | 0.39 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 40 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-13 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 42 | 52 | 60 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.35 | 0.43 | 0.50 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.11 | 1.30 | 1.48 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (334) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.46 | 0.54 | 0.62 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S25-TRIB12-(2) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S25-TRIB12-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 382 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 39 | 40 | 42 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-13 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.16 | 1.36 | 1.55 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (382) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.55 | 0.65 | 0.74 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S25-TRIB12-(3) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S25-TRIB12-(3) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 444 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 38 | 39 | 41 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.41 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 40 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-10 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.15 | 1.34 | 1.51 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (444) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.64 | 0.74 | 0.84 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S25-TRIB12-(4) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S25-TRIB12-(4) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 478 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 37 | 38 | 40 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.37 | 0.38 | 0.40 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 4 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 4 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 38 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.48 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-9 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | | 1 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | | 1 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | | 3 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | | 3 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | | 4 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 6 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | | 3 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 20 | 36 | 58 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.17 | 0.30 | 0.48 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 0.94 | 1.16 | 1.47 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (478) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.56 | 0.69 | 0.88 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S25-TRIB12-(5a) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): N/A | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 308 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 49 | 50 | 52 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.49 | 0.50 | 0.52 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Re-Establishment | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 39 | 47 | 54 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.49 | 0.59 | 0.68 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-12 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 5 | 5 | 5 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 51 | 61 | 69 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.43 | 0.51 | 0.58 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.40 | 1.60 | 1.77 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (308) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.54 | 0.62 | 0.68 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S25-TRIB12-(5b) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): N/A | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 627 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 49 | 50 | 52 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.49 | 0.50 | 0.52 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Re-Establishment | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 39 | 47 | 54 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.49 | 0.59 | 0.68 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-12 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 5 | 5 | 5 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 51 | 61 | 69 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.43 | 0.51 | 0.58 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.40 | 1.60 | 1.77 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (627) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 1.10 | 1.25 | 1.39 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S25-TRIB12-(6) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S25-TRIB12-(6) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 4 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 590 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 42 | 44 | 49 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.42 | 0.44 | 0.49 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 2 | 4 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 1 | 5 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 4 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 29 | 41 | 55 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.36 | 0.51 | 0.69 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-9 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 1 | 2 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 1 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 1 | 3 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 1 | 4 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 4 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 1 | 5 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 26 | 42 | 61 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.22 | 0.35 | 0.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.00 | 1.30 | 1.69 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (590) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.74 | 0.96 | 1.25 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S25-TRIB12-(7) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S25-TRIB12-(7) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 310 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 47 | 48 | 50 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.47 | 0.48 | 0.50 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 39 | 46 | 52 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.49 | 0.58 | 0.65 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-9 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 5 | 5 | 5 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 51 | 61 | 69 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.43 | 0.51 | 0.58 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.38 | 1.56 | 1.73 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (310) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.53 | 0.60 | 0.67 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S25-TRIB12-A1-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): N/A | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 953 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 39 | 40 | 42 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Re-Establishment | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 33 | 41 | 48 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.60 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-12 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 43 | 53 | 61 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.36 | 0.44 | 0.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.16 | 1.35 | 1.53 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (953) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 1.38 | 1.61 | 1.82 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S25-TRIB12-A1-(2) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): N/A | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 352 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 40 | 41 | 43 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.40 | 0.41 | 0.43 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Re-Establishment | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 33 | 41 | 48 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.60 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-12 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.18 | 1.37 | 1.55 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (352) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.52 | 0.60 | 0.68 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S25-TRIB12-A1-TRIBA-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): N/A | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 550 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 39 | 40 | 42 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Re-Establishment | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 33 | 41 | 48 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.60 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-12 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 43 | 53 | 61 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.36 | 0.44 | 0.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.16 | 1.35 | 1.53 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (550) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.80 | 0.93 | 1.05 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S25-TRIB12-A2-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S25-TRIB12-A2-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 1,166 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 39 | 40 | 42 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 39 | 46 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.49 | 0.58 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-10 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 43 | 53 | 61 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.36 | 0.44 | 0.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.15 | 1.33 | 1.50 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (1166) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 1.68 | 1.94 | 2.19 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S25-TRIB12-A3-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S25-TRIB12-A3-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 780 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 39 | 40 | 42 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-10, C-13 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 43 | 53 | 61 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.36 | 0.44 | 0.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.15 | 1.35 | 1.54 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (780) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 1.12 | 1.32 | 1.50 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S25-TRIB13-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): N/A | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 616 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 39 | 40 | 42 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Re-Establishment | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 33 | 41 | 48 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.60 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-8 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 43 | 53 | 61 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.36 | 0.44 | 0.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.16 | 1.35 | 1.53 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (616) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.89 | 1.04 | 1.18 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S25-TRIB13-(2) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S25-TRIB13-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 712 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 39 | 40 | 42 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 40 | 46 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0.58 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-8 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.16 | 1.35 | 1.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (712) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 1.03 | 1.20 | 1.34 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S25-TRIB13-(3) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): N/A | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 1,324 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 48 | 49 | 51 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.48 | 0.49 | 0.51 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Re-Establishment | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 39 | 47 | 54 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.49 | 0.59 | 0.68 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-9 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 5 | 5 | 5 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 51 | 61 | 69 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.43 | 0.51 | 0.58 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.39 | 1.59 | 1.76 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (1324) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 2.30 | 2.63 | 2.91 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S25-TRIB13-A1-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 1 | 4 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S25-TRIB13-A1-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 953 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 22 | 26 | 34 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.22 | 0.26 | 0.34 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 2 | 4 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 4 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 1 | 5 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 20 | 32 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.25 | 0.40 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-8, C-9 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 1 | 2 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 1 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 1 | 3 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 1 | 4 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 1 | 5 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 4 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 20 | 35 | 54 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.17 | 0.29 | 0.45 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 0.64 | 0.95 | 1.38 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (953) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.76 | 1.13 | 1.64 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S25-TRIB13-A1-(2) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): N/A | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 724 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 39 | 40 | 42 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Re-Establishment | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 33 | 41 | 48 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.60 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-9 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.17 | 1.36 | 1.54 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (724) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 1.06 | 1.23 | 1.39 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S25-TRIB14-(2) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S25-TRIB14-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 129 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 28 | 31 | 38 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.28 | 0.31 | 0.38 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 1 | 3 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 1 | 5 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 27 | 35 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.34 | 0.44 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-12 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 1 | 2 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 1 | 5 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 34 | 44 | 56 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.28 | 0.37 | 0.47 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 0.90 | 1.11 | 1.43 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (129) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.15 | 0.18 | 0.23 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S25-TRIB15-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): N/A | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 1,976 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 40 | 40 | 43 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.43 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 4 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Re-Establishment | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 39 | 48 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.49 | 0.60 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-6, C-9 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 43 | 52 | 61 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.36 | 0.43 | 0.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.16 | 1.32 | 1.54 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (1976) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 2.87 | 3.26 | 3.80 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S26-(5a) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S26-(4), S26-(5) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 945 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 49 | 50 | 52 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.49 | 0.50 | 0.52 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 39 | 46 | 52 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.49 | 0.58 | 0.65 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-14 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 5 | 5 | 5 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 52 | 62 | 70 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.43 | 0.52 | 0.58 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.41 | 1.59 | 1.75 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (945) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 1.67 | 1.88 | 2.07 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S26-(5b) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S26-(5) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 451 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 49 | 50 | 52 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.49 | 0.50 | 0.52 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 39 | 46 | 52 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.49 | 0.58 | 0.65 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-11, C-14 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 5 | 5 | 5 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 52 | 62 | 70 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.43 | 0.52 | 0.58 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.41 | 1.59 | 1.75 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (451) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.79 | 0.90 | 0.99 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S26-(5c) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S26-(5) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 2,790 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 51 | 52 | 54 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.51 | 0.52 | 0.54 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 39 | 46 | 52 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.49 | 0.58 | 0.65 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-11 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 5 | 5 | 5 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 52 | 62 | 70 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.43 | 0.52 | 0.58 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.43 | 1.61 | 1.77 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (2790) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 4.99 | 5.61 | 6.17 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S26-(6a) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S26-(6) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 2,540 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 50 | 51 | 53 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.50 | 0.51 | 0.53 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 39 | 46 | 52 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.49 | 0.58 | 0.65 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-7, C-11 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 5 | 5 | 5 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 52 | 62 | 70 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.43 | 0.52 | 0.58 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.42 | 1.60 | 1.76 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (2540) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 4.51 | 5.08 | 5.59 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S26-(6b) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S26-(6) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 1,580 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 51 | 52 | 54 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.51 | 0.52 | 0.54 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 39 | 46 | 52 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.49 | 0.58 | 0.65 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-7 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 5 | 5 | 5 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 52 | 62 | 70 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.43 | 0.52 | 0.58 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.43 | 1.61 | 1.77 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (1580) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 2.82 | 3.18 | 3.50 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S26-(6c) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S26-(6) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 2,243 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 49 | 50 | 52 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.49 | 0.50 | 0.52 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 39 | 46 | 52 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.49 | 0.58 | 0.65 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-4 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 5 | 5 | 5 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 52 | 62 | 70 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.43 | 0.52 | 0.58 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.41 | 1.59 | 1.75 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (2243) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 3.95 | 4.46 | 4.91 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S26-(6d) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S26-(6) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 248 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 49 | 50 | 52 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.49 | 0.50 | 0.52 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 39 | 46 | 52 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.49 | 0.58 | 0.65 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-5 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 5 | 5 | 5 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 52 | 62 | 70 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.43 | 0.52 | 0.58 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.41 | 1.59 | 1.75 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (248) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.44 | 0.49 | 0.54 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S26-(6e) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S26-(6) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 3,175 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 50 | 51 | 53 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.50 | 0.51 | 0.53 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 39 | 46 | 52 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.49 | 0.58 | 0.65 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-2, C-5 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 5 | 5 | 5 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 52 | 62 | 70 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.43 | 0.52 | 0.58 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.42 | 1.60 | 1.76 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (3175) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 5.64 | 6.35 | 6.99 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S26-TRIB1-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S26-TRIB1-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 200 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 36 | 37 | 39 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.36 | 0.37 | 0.39 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 39 | 46 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.49 | 0.58 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-2 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 42 | 52 | 60 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.35 | 0.43 | 0.50 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.11 | 1.29 | 1.47 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (200) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.28 | 0.32 | 0.37 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S26-TRIB2-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S26-TRIB2-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 1,019 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 38 | 38 | 39 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.39 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 5 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 7 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 3 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 34 | 40 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.43 | 0.50 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-1 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 1 | 2 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 3 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 7 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 43 | 50 | 59 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.36 | 0.42 | 0.49 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.16 | 1.30 | 1.47 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (1019) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 1.48 | 1.66 | 1.87 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S26-TRIB2-(2) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): N/A | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 787 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 39 | 40 | 42 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Re-Establishment | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 33 | 41 | 48 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.60 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-1, C-4 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.17 | 1.36 | 1.54 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (787) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 1.15 | 1.34 | 1.51 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S26-TRIB2-(3) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S26-TRIB2-(3) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 301 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 40 | 41 | 43 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.40 | 0.41 | 0.43 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-4 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.17 | 1.37 | 1.56 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (301) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.44 | 0.52 | 0.59 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S26-TRIB2-(4) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S26-TRIB2-(4) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 4 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 614 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 49 | 50 | 52 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.49 | 0.50 | 0.52 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 38 | 46 | 52 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.48 | 0.58 | 0.65 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-5 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 5 | 5 | 5 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 51 | 61 | 69 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.43 | 0.51 | 0.58 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.39 | 1.58 | 1.75 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (614) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 1.07 | 1.21 | 1.34 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S26-TRIB3-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S26-TRIB3-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 781 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 35 | 35 | 38 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.38 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 2 | 4 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 1 | 5 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 3 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 23 | 34 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.29 | 0.43 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-4 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 1 | 2 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 1 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 3 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 1 | 5 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 31 | 44 | 58 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.26 | 0.37 | 0.48 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 0.90 | 1.14 | 1.45 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (781) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.88 | 1.11 | 1.42 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S26-TRIB3-(2a) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S26-TRIB3-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 5 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 717 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 48 | 49 | 53 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.48 | 0.49 | 0.53 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 38 | 46 | 52 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.48 | 0.58 | 0.65 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-4 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 5 | 5 | 5 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 51 | 61 | 69 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.43 | 0.51 | 0.58 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.38 | 1.57 | 1.76 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (717) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 1.24 | 1.41 | 1.58 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S26-TRIB3-(2b) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S26-TRIB3-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 5 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 1,480 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 48 | 49 | 53 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.48 | 0.49 | 0.53 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 38 | 46 | 52 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.48 | 0.58 | 0.65 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-4 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 5 | 5 | 5 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 51 | 61 | 69 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.43 | 0.51 | 0.58 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.38 | 1.57 | 1.76 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (1480) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 2.55 | 2.90 | 3.26 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S26-TRIB3-(2c) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S26-TRIB3-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 5 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 703 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 47 | 48 | 52 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.47 | 0.48 | 0.52 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 38 | 46 | 52 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.48 | 0.58 | 0.65 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-4 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 5 | 5 | 5 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 51 | 61 | 69 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.43 | 0.51 | 0.58 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.37 | 1.56 | 1.75 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (703) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 1.20 | 1.37 | 1.54 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S26-TRIB4-(0) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): N/A | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 588 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 36 | 37 | 39 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.36 | 0.37 | 0.39 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Re-Establishment | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 33 | 41 | 48 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.60 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-5 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 41 | 51 | 59 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.34 | 0.43 | 0.49 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.11 | 1.31 | 1.48 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (588) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.82 | 0.96 | 1.09 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S26-TRIB4-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S26-TRIB4-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 5 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 1,492 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 47 | 48 | 52 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.47 | 0.48 | 0.52 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 38 | 45 | 52 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.48 | 0.56 | 0.65 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-5 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 5 | 5 | 5 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 51 | 61 | 69 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.43 | 0.51 | 0.58 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.37 | 1.55 | 1.75 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (1492) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 2.56 | 2.89 | 3.26 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S26-TRIB5-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S26-TRIB5-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 487 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 38 | 39 | 41 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.41 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 33 | 41 | 48 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.60 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-4 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.16 | 1.35 | 1.53 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (487) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.71 | 0.82 | 0.93 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S26-TRIB6-(1a) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S26-TRIB6-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 1,022 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 39 | 40 | 42 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 39 | 46 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.49 | 0.58 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-4 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.16 | 1.34 | 1.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (1022) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 1.48 | 1.71 | 1.93 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S26-TRIB6-(1b) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S26-TRIB6-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 1,571 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 39 | 40 | 42 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 39 | 46 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.49 | 0.58 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-4 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.16 | 1.34 | 1.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (1571) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 2.28 | 2.63 | 2.97 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S26-TRIB7-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): N/A | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 1,719 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 39 | 40 | 42 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Re-Establishment | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 33 | 41 | 48 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.60 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-8 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 43 | 53 | 61 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.36 | 0.44 | 0.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.16 | 1.35 | 1.53 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (1719) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 2.49 | 2.90 | 3.29 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S26-TRIB7-(2) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S26-TRIB7-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 1,329 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 48 | 49 | 51 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.48 | 0.49 | 0.51 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 38 | 46 | 52 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.48 | 0.58 | 0.65 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-5 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 5 | 5 | 5 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 50 | 60 | 68 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.42 | 0.50 | 0.57 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.37 | 1.57 | 1.73 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (1329) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 2.28 | 2.61 | 2.87 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S26-TRIB8-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S26-TRIB8-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 642 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 36 | 37 | 39 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.36 | 0.37 | 0.39 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 39 | 46 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.49 | 0.58 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-4, C-7 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 42 | 52 | 60 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.35 | 0.43 | 0.50 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.11 | 1.29 | 1.47 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (642) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.89 | 1.04 | 1.18 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S26-TRIB9-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S26-TRIB9-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 742 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 38 | 39 | 41 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.41 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 39 | 46 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.49 | 0.58 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-4, C-7 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.15 | 1.33 | 1.50 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (742) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 1.07 | 1.23 | 1.39 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S26-TRIB10-(1a) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S26-TRIB10-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 1,524 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 39 | 40 | 42 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 39 | 46 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.49 | 0.58 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-7 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 5 | 5 | 5 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 45 | 55 | 63 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.38 | 0.46 | 0.53 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.17 | 1.35 | 1.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (1524) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 2.23 | 2.57 | 2.90 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S26-TRIB10-(1b) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S26-TRIB10-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 1,166 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 48 | 49 | 51 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.48 | 0.49 | 0.51 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 38 | 45 | 52 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.48 | 0.56 | 0.65 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-7 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 5 | 5 | 5 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 50 | 60 | 68 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.42 | 0.50 | 0.57 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.37 | 1.55 | 1.73 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (1166) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 2.00 | 2.26 | 2.52 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S26-TRIB10-A1-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S26-TRIB10-A1-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 748 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 39 | 40 | 42 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 39 | 46 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.49 | 0.58 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-7 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 43 | 53 | 61 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.36 | 0.44 | 0.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.15 | 1.33 | 1.50 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (748) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 1.08 | 1.24 | 1.40 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S26-TRIB10-A1-(2) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S26-TRIB10-A1-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 1,634 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 39 | 40 | 42 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 40 | 46 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0.58 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-7 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.16 | 1.35 | 1.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (1634) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 2.37 | 2.76 | 3.08 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S26-TRIB10-A2-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S26-TRIB10-A2-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 349 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 38 | 39 | 41 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.41 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 40 | 46 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0.58 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-7 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 43 | 53 | 61 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.36 | 0.44 | 0.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.14 | 1.33 | 1.49 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (349) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.50 | 0.58 | 0.65 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S26-TRIB10-A2-TRIBA-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S26-TRIB10-A2-TRIBA-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 165 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 36 | 37 | 39 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.36 | 0.37 | 0.39 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 39 | 46 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.49 | 0.58 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-7 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 41 | 51 | 59 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.34 | 0.43 | 0.49 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.10 | 1.28 | 1.46 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (165) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.23 | 0.26 | 0.30 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S26-TRIB11-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 1 | 4 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S26-TRIB11-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 459 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 19 | 26 | 36 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.19 | 0.26 | 0.36 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 4 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 1 | 5 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 22 | 33 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.28 | 0.41 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-7 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 1 | 2 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 1 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 3 | 4 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 1 | 4 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 1 | 5 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 1 | 4 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 24 | 40 | 58 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.20 | 0.33 | 0.48 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 0.67 | 1.01 | 1.43 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (459) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.38 | 0.58 | 0.82 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S26-TRIB11-(2) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S26-TRIB11-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 308 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 38 | 39 | 41 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.41 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 33 | 41 | 48 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.60 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-7 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.16 | 1.35 | 1.53 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (308) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.45 | 0.52 | 0.59 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S26-TRIB12-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S26-TRIB12-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 378 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 38 | 39 | 41 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.41 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 41 | 49 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.61 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-7 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.15 | 1.35 | 1.54 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (378) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.54 | 0.64 | 0.73 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S26-TRIB13-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S26-TRIB13-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 1,202 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 39 | 40 | 42 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 40 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-8 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 43 | 53 | 61 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.36 | 0.44 | 0.51 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.15 | 1.34 | 1.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (1202) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 1.73 | 2.01 | 2.28 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S26-TRIB13-(2) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): N/A | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 341 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 39 | 40 | 42 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Re-Establishment | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 33 | 41 | 48 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.60 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-8 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.17 | 1.36 | 1.54 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (341) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.50 | 0.58 | 0.66 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S26-TRIB13-(3) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S26-TRIB13-(3), S26-(6) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 541 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 40 | 41 | 43 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.40 | 0.41 | 0.43 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 40 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-7 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.17 | 1.36 | 1.53 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (541) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.79 | 0.92 | 1.03 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S26-TRIB14-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S26-TRIB14-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 1,076 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 39 | 40 | 42 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 33 | 41 | 48 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.60 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-8 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.17 | 1.36 | 1.54 | | |
| | TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (1076) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 1.57 | 1.83 | 2.07 | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S26-TRIB15-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S26-TRIB15-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 6 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 152 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 26 | 30 | 37 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.26 | 0.30 | 0.37 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 2 | 4 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 1 | 5 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 5 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 17 | 31 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.21 | 0.39 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-11 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 1 | 2 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 1 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 1 | 3 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 1 | 4 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 5 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 1 | 5 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 20 | 38 | 58 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.17 | 0.32 | 0.48 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 0.64 | 1.00 | 1.44 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (152) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.12 | 0.19 | 0.27 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S26-TRIB15-(2) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S26-TRIB15-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 976 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 39 | 40 | 42 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 40 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-11 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.16 | 1.35 | 1.52 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (976) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 1.42 | 1.65 | 1.85 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S26-TRIB15-(3) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): N/A | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 931 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 50 | 51 | 53 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.50 | 0.51 | 0.53 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Re-Establishment | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 39 | 47 | 54 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.49 | 0.59 | 0.68 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-11 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 5 | 5 | 5 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 51 | 61 | 69 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.43 | 0.51 | 0.58 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.41 | 1.61 | 1.78 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (931) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 1.64 | 1.87 | 2.07 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S26-TRIB16-(4) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S26-TRIB16-(4) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 176 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 40 | 41 | 43 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.40 | 0.41 | 0.43 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 5 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 34 | 41 | 48 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.43 | 0.51 | 0.60 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-11 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.19 | 1.37 | 1.55 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (176) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.26 | 0.30 | 0.34 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S26-TRIB16-(5) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 1 | 4 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 1 | 4 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S26-TRIB16-(5) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 1 | 4 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 1 | 4 | 7 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 600 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 11 | 23 | 36 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.11 | 0.23 | 0.36 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 1 | 4 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 1 | 4 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 1 | 3 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 1 | 5 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 17 | 31 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.21 | 0.39 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-11 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 2 | 2 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 1 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 1 | 3 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 1 | 4 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 1 | 4 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 1 | 5 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 25 | 40 | 58 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.21 | 0.33 | 0.48 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 0.53 | 0.95 | 1.43 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (600) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.40 | 0.71 | 1.07 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S26-TRIB16-A1-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S26-TRIB16-A1-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 596 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 39 | 40 | 42 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 33 | 41 | 48 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.60 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-11 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.17 | 1.36 | 1.54 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (596) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.87 | 1.01 | 1.15 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S26-TRIB17-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S26-TRIB17-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 252 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 25 | 29 | 36 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.25 | 0.29 | 0.36 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 5 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 4 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 38 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.48 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-11 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 2 | 2 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 1 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 4 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 43 | 49 | 59 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.36 | 0.41 | 0.49 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.01 | 1.17 | 1.44 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (252) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.32 | 0.37 | 0.45 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S26-TRIB17-(2) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 2 | 4 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 1 | 4 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S26-TRIB17-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 2 | 4 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 1 | 4 | 7 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 120 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 11 | 21 | 34 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.11 | 0.21 | 0.34 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 2 | 4 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 2 | 4 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 4 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 27 | 35 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.34 | 0.44 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-11 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 2 | 2 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 1 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 3 | 4 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 2 | 4 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 4 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 37 | 44 | 57 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.31 | 0.37 | 0.48 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 0.76 | 1.01 | 1.40 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (120) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.11 | 0.15 | 0.21 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S26-TRIB17-(3) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 2 | 4 | 7 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S26-TRIB17-(3) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 2 | 4 | 7 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 134 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 20 | 26 | 37 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.20 | 0.26 | 0.37 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 7 | 7 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 5 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Enhancement | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 30 | 37 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.38 | 0.46 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-11 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 2 | 2 | 3 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 1 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 3 | 4 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 3 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 4 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 5 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 8 | 8 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 5 | 6 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 35 | 44 | 58 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.29 | 0.37 | 0.48 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 0.87 | 1.09 | 1.44 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (134) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.15 | 0.18 | 0.24 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S26-TRIB18-(5) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S26-TRIB18-(5) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 542 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 39 | 40 | 42 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 33 | 41 | 48 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.60 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-11 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.17 | 1.36 | 1.54 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (542) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.79 | 0.92 | 1.04 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S26-TRIB19-(2) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 2 | 2 | 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S26-TRIB19-(2) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 794 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 49 | 50 | 52 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.49 | 0.50 | 0.52 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 39 | 47 | 54 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.49 | 0.59 | 0.68 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-14 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 5 | 5 | 5 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 51 | 61 | 69 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.43 | 0.51 | 0.58 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.40 | 1.60 | 1.77 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (794) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 1.39 | 1.59 | 1.76 | | | |

| STREAM ASSESSMENT REACH (SAR) INFORMATION | SWAMPIM METRICS (a, b, c, d) | END OF CONSTRUCTION | END OF MONITORING | AT MATURITY | MITIGATION ACTIVITIES / WORK PERFORMED | RATIONALE FOR LIFT |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Proposed SAR Name: S26-TRIB19-A1-(1) | H1. Flow Regime and Groundwater Interaction | 1 | 1 | 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protection within large contiguous mitigation area - Implementation of measures to prevent uncontrolled access (cattle, etc.) from outside conservation easement - Supplemental plantings of native trees, shrubs, and herbaceous species - Use of large woody debris (LWD) or other native material for in-channel structures - Adjustment of channel gradient by installing grade control structures (GCS) made from native material (rock or woody debris) where appropriate - Creation of pools in combination with LWD and GCS and other locations where appropriate - Creation of riparian buffer zones around channel (minimum of 60' width on each side) - Creation of protected natural area adjacent to riparian buffer zone - Monitoring and management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GCS will reduce channel downcutting and improve stream stability, sediment transport, and floodplain connectivity (through increased overbank frequency) - LWD will increase channel roughness and improve bank stability - Created pools will retain water - Protection, plantings, and measures to prevent uncontrolled access will improve bank stability, filter runoff, and enhance water quality - Woody debris, leaf litter, and overhanging herbaceous vegetation from established buffer zones will enhance in-stream habitat and biological productivity |
| | H2a. Channel Condition/ Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H2b. Channel Capacity to Flow Frequency | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| Baseline SAR Name(s): S26-TRIB19-A1-(1) | H2c. Channel Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | H3a. Channel Sinuosity | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | H3b. Bottom Substrate Composition | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | H3c. In stream Bottom Topography OR Manning's n (f) | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | H3d. Channel Incision | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | H4a. Pools | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Proposed SAR Length (LF): 173 | H4b. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Hydrologic Subtotal | 39 | 40 | 42 | | |
| | Hydrologic FCI = Subtotal / 100 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | | |
| Mitigation Zone: Zone C | WQ1a. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | WQ1b. Channel Bottom Bank Stability OR Channel Sediments or Substrate Composition (e, g) | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| Stream Classification Ephemeral | WQ2. Water Clarity | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | WQ3. Nutrient Enrichment OR Presence of Aquatic Vegetation (h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Multiplication Factor (i) : 0.00125 | WQ4. Composition of Organic Matter | 3 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | WQ5. Land Use Pattern Beyond Immediate Riparian Zone (e) | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6a. Riparian Zone Width (from stream edge to field) (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | WQ6b. Riparian Zone Vegetation Protection/Completeness (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| Mitigation Design Type: Restoration | Water Quality / Biogeochemical Subtotal | 32 | 40 | 47 | | |
| | Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI = Subtotal / 80 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0.59 | | |
| | HB1. Flow Regime | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Reference Figure: C-14 | HB2. Epifaunal Substrate and Available Cover | 4 | 4 | 4 | <p>Notes:</p> <p>(a) Refer to SWAMPIM Assessment Protocol Documentation (included in Appendix C of Mitigation Plan) for scoring methodology.</p> <p>(b) "H" = Hydrologic Functions; "WQ" = Water Quality / Biogeochemical Functions; "HB" = Habitat Functions.</p> <p>(c) FCI = Functional Condition Index.</p> <p>(d) FCU = Functional Capacity Unit.</p> <p>(e) Score shown is the average of the left and right bank scores.</p> <p>(f) Instream bottom topography was globally used in lieu of Manning's N as it allows for a visual assessment of the stream reach.</p> <p>(g) Channel Bottom Bank Stability was used globally instead of Channel Sediment/Substrate Composition because it more accurately represents the channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(h) Nutrient Enrichment was used globally for scoring because Aquatic Vegetation does not provide an accurate representation of ephemeral stream channel condition within the Lake Ralph Hall project watershed.</p> <p>(i) The Multiplication Factor is determined by the stream's flow regime; the multiplication factors for Perennial, Intermittent with Perennial Pools, Intermittent, and Ephemeral Streams are 0.0038, 0.00315, 0.0025, and 0.00125, respectively.</p> | |
| | HB3. Stream Bottom Substrate | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | HB4. Pool Variability | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB5. Sediment Deposition and Scouring | 6 | 6 | 6 | | |
| | HB6. Channel Flow Status | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | HB7. Channel Alteration | 8 | 8 | 8 | | |
| | HB8. Channel Sinuosity | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | HB9. Bank Stability (e) | 6 | 7 | 8 | | |
| | HB10. Vegetative Protection (e) | 2 | 6 | 9 | | |
| | HB11. Riparian Zone (e) | 5 | 7 | 9 | | |
| | HB12. Riparian Habitat Condition | 2 | 5 | 7 | | |
| | Habitat Subtotal | 44 | 54 | 62 | | |
| | Habitat FCI = Subtotal / 120 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.52 | | |
| | TOTAL FCI = Hydrologic FCI + Water Quality / Biogeochemical FCI + Habitat FCI | 1.16 | 1.35 | 1.52 | | |
| TOTAL FCU = SAR Length (173) X Multiplication Factor (0.00125) X Total FCI | 0.25 | 0.29 | 0.33 | | | |